

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

November 29, 2010

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested allenhazelwood@republicservices.com

Mr. Rick Hazelwood Maintenance Manager Allied Waste Services of North America, LLC 2910 North Palafox Street Pensacola, Florida 32501-1443

Dear Mr. Hazelwood:

On November 19, 2010, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330117. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report. Please carefully review the comments section of the inspection report to ensure continued compliance in the future.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Rick Bradburn

Air Program Administrator

Rich Bradbon

RB/jw/c

Enclosure

c: Bryan Franks, Allied Waste Services: bfranks@republicservices.com



SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, IN RE-INSPECTION (I		· · ·	
AIRS ID#: 0330117 DATE: 11/19/10	ARRIVE: 9:56 AM	DEPART: <u>10:31 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: PENSACOLA FACILIT	Y		
FACILITY LOCATION: 2910 N PALA	AFOX ST		
PENSACOLA	A 32501-1443		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RICK HAZELWOOD Email: allenhazelwood@republicservices.com CONTACT NAME: BRYAN FRANKS Email: bfranks@republicservices.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/30/2010 / 10/30/2015 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (850)437-7848 Mobile: (850)554-0380 PHONE: (850)437-7851 Mobile: (850)554-1660			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
 Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)			
DADE III. COMEDO LODED ATING MAI	AVEANANCE DECLIDEMENTS D	C 410 200 E A C	
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAIN (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>NTANANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Num	le 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
emission limiting standard of Chapter 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow o	subject to a VOC Reasonably Available (62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)) or permit the discharge of air pollutants wh (20(2), F.A.C.)	O(c)4.b., F.A.C.) Yes No hich cause or contribute to	

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
 Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention throu involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective ap b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillag e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissi spraying light colored coatings before dark colored cocycles? recycling cleaning solvents? using water based cleaners? 	VOC emissions by: plication with a minimum of overspray? y?		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been	The second seco		
Jennifer Waltrip	11/19/10		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Jennific Waltrip	November 2011		
Unspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: Department personnel conducted an unannounced anni November 19, 2010. Mr. Bryan Franks, Operations Manager, and Mr. I assist during the inspection. Daily records tracking the gallons of paint used were made available for repairs made to the paint booth, and when the filters were changed. The not up-to-date, but a review of the records show the facility is not appromar. Franks and Mr. Hazelwood that these calculations should be kept up the facility uses a water-based enamel paint. No solvents or thinners are	Rick Hazelwood, Maintenance Manager, were available to r inspection. The records also included a notation of any e calculations for quantities of VOC in pounds per day were eaching the 44 pound per day limit. It was discussed with p-to-date and available for the next inspection.		

The paint booth was in operation during the inspection. No emissions were observed and no odors were detected. The filters appeared to be clean and well maintained.