STATES A
1 Jane
FLORIDA
10-0000000000

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	L (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	7 (CI)
PE RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: LEX CONTACT NAME: Tony Champ	RIDA, INCORPORATEI 10 NORTH PALAFOX S NSACOLA 32522- X CARTER itto	STREET PHONE: PHONE:	DEPART: <u>2:55 PM</u> (850)433-7425 (850)433-7425
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTILEN	IENT PERIOD: 11/7/2003 (effective date)	/ 11/7/2008 (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?			
emission limiting standard2. Does the facility cause, suff	peration(s) subject to a V of Chapter 62-296.500, F er, allow or permit the di	REQUIREMENTS – Rule 6 /OC Reasonably Available Con 7.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c) ischarge of air pollutants which	ntrol Technology (RACT) 4.b., F.A.C.) Yes No a cause or contribute to

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. - (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees	5
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:	

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	\boxtimes Yes \square No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Yes 🗌 No
c)	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g. waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	\square Yes \square No

	considering the use of low-voc coatings (e.g., waterborne, untra-violet cured, or powder coatings).		
d)	implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	⊠Yes	

e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:

	spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning		
	cycles?		
2)	recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes	\boxtimes

	<u>ECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. <u>Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since	the last inspection has there been		
	1	Yes	No
		Yes	No
r		Yes	No
n	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
14	ocal program office?	□Yes	No
Carol Melton	3/28/07		

Larol Melton

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

/s/

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

No

No

No

COMMENTS: At the time of the inspection: the paint booth was not being used; an employee was changing the filters in the booth; and the exhaust fan was not working. Tony Champitto, Maintenance Supervisor, explained that they are in the process of fixing the fan. He also indicated that a contractor performs the painting. Mr. Champitto showed me hand written daily logs listing the type and amount of paint used each day. He also showed me printed logs listing the amount of paint used and pounds of volatile organic compounds contained in the paint used. These sheets indicated that less than 44 pounds of VOCs. I was shown a Master Safety Data Sheet, indicating they currently use an enamel (water based) paint containing approximately 2.78 pounds per gallon VOCs. The printed log sheets indicated they used approximately 45 gallons of paint a month.

3/28/01

March 2008

Date of Inspection