

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL erinc@rmusainc.com

Ms. Erin Christie Environmental Coordinator Block USA 715 Twitchell Road Dothan, Alabama 36304

Dear Ms. Christie:

On May 5, 2009, Department representatives with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, I.D. 0330110. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report. Please note that authority to operate this facility expires on August 1, 2010. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air operation permit or air general permit.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip by phone at 850/595-8300, extension 1222 or via e-mail at: Jennifer.Waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Erica Mitchell Air Compliance Supervisor

EM/jw/c

Enclosure

c: David Rabold, Block USA (<u>davidr@blockusagroup.com</u>)



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAIN	OVERY (CI)
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAIN	i no:
AIRS ID#: 0330110 DAT	E: <u>5/5/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:36</u>	DEPART: <u>2:50</u>
FACILITY NAME: PEN	SACOLA BLOCK PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION:	2800 NORTH PALAFO	OX STREET	
	PENSACOLA 32501		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED	REPRESENTATIVE: ALI	LEN FAULK PH	IONE: (334)673-8233
CONTACT NAME: Ro	d Andress	РН	IONE: (850)438-2415
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	D: 8/1/2005 / 8/1/2010 (effective date) (end date)		
	(criteria) (criteria)		
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ex 3. During visible emissions at a rate that is reprunless such rate is unless unless unless such rate is unless unless such rate is unless unless unless such rate is unless unl	ons tests conducted during this a silos, weigh hoppers (batcher tent necessary to limit visible essions tests of the silo dust collesentative of the normal silo loganchievable in practice?	s site visit according to EPA s), and other enclosed stora emissions to 5 percent opace ector exhaust points was the ading rate, or at least at the peration controlled by the sections 4.a) and 4.b) below. It	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	es 🗌 No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate:	es 🗌 No
	es 🗌 No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? \[\sum Y \]	es 🗌 No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?	es 🗌 No
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	s 🛭 No s 🗌 No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	s 🗌 No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————	S

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C	!)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:					
	es, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo				
	areas, stock piles, and yards?				
2) application of water or environmentally saf					
	nd other paved areas under control of the owner/operate				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?					
particulate matter from stock piles?	- ⊠Yes □ No				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to	mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	□Yes ⊠ No			
DARTIN, CRECIAL CONDITIONS AND BROCERY	DEC D. L. (2.210.200(4)/J) 4 E A C				
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDU</u> A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
1. Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?		☐Yes ⊠ No			
b) alterations to existing process equipment with	thout replacement?	□Yes ⊠ No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantia	arry different than that noted on the most	- Yes No			
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, di					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 6					
		- 🗌 Yes 🔲 No			
Jennifer Waltrip	5/5/09				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	May 2010				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	<u> </u>			
COMMENTS: Department personnel conducted an unant	nounced annual air program compliance inspection on	May 5, 2009 at the			
Block USA facility located in Escambia County. Mr. Rod present to assist during the inspection.					
The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection a					
baghouse located on top of the cement storage silo. Filter inspect and maintain the bag house. During the last visit of					
Fugitive emissions are controlled by wetting the yard twice					
	·				
The weigh hopper (batcher) is enclosed within the facility, therefore no visible emission test is required for it. Pensacola P.O.C. Inc. conducted the annual visual emission test for the baghouse on May 8, 2009 and Department personnel were onsite to observe. Test					
results are required to be submitted to the Department with	in 45 days of the test date.				
Materials purchased on a monthly basis are tracked by using a spreadsheet which was available for review during the inspection.					
Please note that Rule 62-210.310(2)(c)2, Fla. Admin. Code requires the submittal of the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air general permit in order to avoid lapse of authority to operate. The Entitlement Period for this facility (authority to operate) expires on August 1, 2010.					