

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO): 		
AIRS ID#: 0050029 DA	ГЕ: <u>06/04/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:30am</u>	DEPART: <u>11:15</u> a	ı <u>m</u>	
FACILITY NAME: GU	LF COAST CREMATORY S	ER			
FACILITY LOCATION	2403 Harrison Ave				
	PANAMA CITY 324	405			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RON BENTON PHONE: (850)763-4694					
CONTACT NAME: Jason Curry PHONE: (850)763-4694					
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/11/2005 / 11/11/2010 (effective date) (end date)					
	COMPLIANCE STATUS (
☑ IN COMPLIANO			NT Non-COMPLIANCE	;	
PART II. TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.	A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
		is site visit according to EPA Me		☐ Yes ⊠ No	
62-297, F.A.C.)?				□Yes ⊠ No	
3. In order to demonstrate individual source compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?					
(Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)					
completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes No a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to or below the requirements of 100 parts per million by					
volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?					
b) Oxygen test performed according to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?					
		1 to 7% O ₂ and tested according t		□Yes □ No	
5. Was all emissions capacity?	testing conducted with the sou	rce operating at the manufacture	rs recommended	Yes □ No	
6. Was CO & PM cor	mpliance demonstrated by sub	mission of a test report for an ide or to the date of the last formal co	entical crematory unit?	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No	
8. Was the required to	est report filed with the Depart	tment as soon as practical, but no	longer than 45 days afte		

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	cording or such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes ☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600 °F?	∐Yes ∐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	☐Yes ☐ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	ne
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes □ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	△165 → 10
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	
	⊠Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	Dv. Dv
this location?	∐Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	∑Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes ∐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantiall recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office? 2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to compare the compared of	nout replacement?
Gerald Sheehan	06/04/2008
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
	med by Biological & Ecological Solutions Associates on October 9, substantial equipment replacement has occurred. In late January 2008 iit.
All requested records were available for review. The cremator emissions were observed.	tory was in operation at the time of this inspection, no odors or visible