

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Northwest District 160 W Government St., Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

JENNIFER CARROLL LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

January 25, 2013

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested dellis@readymixusa.com

Ms. Desiree Ellis Environmental Coordinator Ready Mix USA LLC Post Office Box 35538 Panama City, Florida

Dear Ms. Ellis:

On January 18, 2013, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330110. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850.595.0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carre Melton

CM/cs/c

Enclosure

c: David Rabold, Block USA: davidr@specblockusa.com



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTION (FU	I) ARMS COMPLAINT NO):			
AIRS ID#: 0330110 DATE: <u>1/18/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:12 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>2:25PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: PENSACOLA BLOCK PL	ANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2800 N PALAFO	OX ST				
PENSACOLA	32501-1437				
	E: DAVID RABOLD Mobile: PHONI Mobile: (2015 d date)	E: (850)438-6512			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETI	ING	(1.17/)			
Name(s) of facility representative(s): Gary Ha Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
Is the Authorized Representative still DAVID I If no, who is?:	RABOLD?	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administ 3. Is the facility contact still DOUG BUSS? If no, who is?:					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during to If yes, was the compliance authority notified at					

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-block plant,silo(cement)w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	Date of last inspection: 6/26/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Data N/A \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each	•
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigurations by:	ined	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	X Yes	□ No□ No
	 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 		□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	_	⊠ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-block plant mixer w/individual baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	•
	Date of last inspection: 6/26/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
Ur	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and niveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiend emissions by:	ined	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		 No No No No No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	,		only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	$\overline{\boxtimes}$ Y	es	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		'es	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		es es	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- 🛛 Y - 📓 Y - 📓 Y	es es	☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM gal	ane/yr ie/yr	≤ 1.00?	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		'es	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		'es	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	_		□ No
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		es	☐ No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		es.	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s		(check 🗹 box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>I</i> , 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and	f only stationary, skip the followin	g question 2.)	
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific 	prior to changing location?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6		□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6))]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:			_
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purlif YES, what was the purpose?	pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes - Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES (check ☑ only one box for each question)			
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?		No No	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		mitted -	☐ No
Chris Stoll	1/18/2013		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	1/18/2014		
	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection	

COMMENTS: On January 18, 2013, Department personnel conducted a routine compliance inspection at the USA Ready Mix Block Plant located in Escambia County. The facility is currently not being operated and has been in long term reserve shutdown since July 15, 2010. Facility personnel indicated that there are no plans in the near future to start back up, but they want the permit to remain active. As a reminder, a performance test must be conducted for visible emissions no later than 30 days after the unit commences operation.