SARASOTA COUNTY
"Dedicated to Quality Service"

WRITTEN NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE AIR PERMIT

July 22, 2011

CERTIFIED MAIL #7003 3110 0002 8486 5572
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

John Macaskill, CEO/ Manger
Millennium Coating, LLC
330 Sarasota Center Blvd.
Sarasota, FL 34240

RE: DEP File No. 1150169-001-AC; Millennium Coating, LLC; Synthetic Minor Source Air Construction Permit

Dear Mr. Macaskill:

On June 16, 2011 the applicant submitted a request to allow construction of a facility that will manufacture the white release paper - adhesive coated plastic/ fabric material (i.e., three layer material: white release paper – adhesive – plastic or fabric substrate) used to make adhesive bandages and similar products for medical and consumer markets. The permitted emission unit is the pressure sensitive tape converting line (Standard Industrial Classification No. 2672), issued pursuant to Section 403.087, Florida Statutes, (F.S.). The facility will be located in Sarasota County at 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, FL 34240.

Enclosed are the following documents: the Technical Evaluation and Preliminary Determination; the Draft Air Construction Permit and Appendices; the Written Notice of Intent to Issue Air Permit; and the Public Notice of Intent to Issue Air Permit. The Public Notice of Intent to Issue Air Permit is the actual notice that you must have published in the legal advertisement section of a newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by this project. If you have any questions, please contact me at (941) 861-0969.

Sincerely,

Susan Cameron
Environmental Specialist III
Sarasota County Natural Resources
Air Quality/ Storage Tank Management

Enclosures

In the Matter of an
Application for Air Permit by:

PERMITTEE:
Millennium Coating, LLC
330 Sarasota Center Blvd.
Sarasota, FL 34240

Air Permit No.: 1150169-001-AC
County: Sarasota County
Expiration Date:

Authorized Representative:
John Macaskill, CEO/ Manger

Millennium Coating, LLC
Air Construction Permit Project: Pressure Sensitive Tape Converting Line

Facility Location: Millennium Coating, LLC, 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, Sarasota County, Florida 34240.

Project: On June 16, 2011 the applicant submitted a request to allow construction of a facility that will manufacture the white release paper - adhesive coated plastic/ fabric material (i.e., three layer material: white release paper – adhesive – plastic or fabric substrate) used to make adhesive bandages and similar products for medical and consumer markets. The permitted emission unit is the pressure sensitive tape converting line (Standard Industrial Classification No. 2672), issued pursuant to Section 403.087, Florida Statutes, (F.S.). The facility will be located in Sarasota County at 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, FL 34240. The facility will be classified as a Synthetic Minor facility as defined by Rule 62-210.200(297), F.A.C. Operational design of the facility will ensure that emissions of particulate matter (PM and PM-10), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), VOC (Volatile Organic Compounds), and HAP(s) (Hazardous Air Pollutant(s)) are below the Title V permitting thresholds of Chapter 62-213, F.A.C.

Permitting Authority: Applications for air construction permits are subject to review in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes (F.S.) and Chapters 62-4, 62-210 and 62-212 of the Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). The proposed project is not exempt from air permitting requirements and an air permit is required to perform the proposed work. The Permitting Authority responsible for making a permit determination for this project is Sarasota County Natural Resources (SCNR) Air Quality/Storage Tank Management, 1001 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, Florida 34240; phone (941)861-0675.

Project File: A complete project file is available for public inspection during the normal business hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday (except legal holidays), at the physical address indicated above for the Permitting Authority. The complete project file includes the Draft Permit, the Technical Evaluation and Preliminary Determination, the application and information submitted by the applicant (exclusive of confidential records under Section 403.111, F.S.). Interested persons may contact the Permitting Authority's project engineer for additional information at the address and phone number listed above. In addition, electronic copies of these documents are available on the following web site: http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/eproducts/apds/default.asp.

WRITTEN NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE AIR PERMIT

Notice of Intent to Issue Air Permit: The Permitting Authority gives notice of its intent to issue an air construction permit to the applicant for the project described above. The applicant has provided reasonable assurance that operation of proposed equipment will not adversely impact air quality and that the project will comply with all appropriate provisions of Chapters 62-4, 62-204, 62-210, 62-212, 62-296 and 62-297, F.A.C. The Permitting Authority will issue a Final Permit in accordance with the conditions of the proposed Draft Permit unless a timely petition for an administrative hearing is filed under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S. or unless public comment received in accordance with this notice results in a different decision or a significant change of terms or conditions.

Public Notice: Pursuant to Section 403.815, F.S., and Rule 62-110.106 and 62-210.350, F.A.C., you (Millennium Coating, LLC) are required to publish at your own expense the enclosed Public Notice of Intent to Issue Air Permit (Public Notice). The Public Notice must be published one time only within 30 days of receipt of this Intent to Issue, in the legal advertisement section of a newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by this project. The newspaper used must meet the requirements of Sections 50.011 and 50.031, F.S. in the county where the activity is to take place. If you are uncertain that a newspaper meets these requirements, please contact the Permitting Authority at the above address or phone number. Pursuant to Rule 62-110.106(5) and (9), F.A.C., the applicant shall provide proof of publication to the Permitting Authority at the above address within seven days of publication. Failure to publish the notice and provide proof of publication within the allotted time may result in the denial of the permit pursuant to Rule 62-110.106(11), F.A.C.

Comments: The Permitting Authority will accept written comments concerning the proposed Draft Permit for a period of 14 days from the date of publication of this Public Notice. Written comments must be received by the Permitting Authority by close of business (5:00 p.m.) on or before the end of the 14-day period. If written comments received result in a significant change to the Draft Permit, the Permitting Authority shall revise the Draft Permit and require, if applicable, another Public Notice. All comments filed will be made available for public inspection.

Petitions: A person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed permitting decision may petition for an administrative hearing in accordance with Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S. The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed with (received by) the Office of the County Attorney, 1660 Ringling Boulevard, Second Floor, Sarasota, Florida 34236; (941)861-7272. Petitions filed by the applicant or any of the parties listed below must be filed within 14 days of receipt of this Written Notice of Intent to Issue Air Permit. Petitions filed by any persons other than those entitled to written notice under Section 120.60(3), F.S. must be filed within 14 days of publication of this Public Notice or receipt of a written notice, whichever occurs first. Under Section 120.60(3), F.S., however, any person who asked the Permitting Authority for notice of agency action may file a petition within 14 days of receipt of that notice, regardless of the date of publication. A petitioner shall mail a copy of the petition to the applicant at the address indicated above, at the time of filing. The failure of any person to file a petition within the appropriate time period shall constitute a waiver of that person's right to request an administrative determination (hearing) under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S., or to intervene in this proceeding and participate as a party to it. Any subsequent intervention (in a proceeding initiated by another party) will be only at the approval of the presiding officer upon the filing of a motion in compliance with Rule 28-106.205, F.A.C.

A petition that disputes the material facts on which the Permitting Authority's action is based must contain the following information: (a) The name and address of each agency affected and each agency's file or identification number, if known; (b) The name, address and telephone number of the petitioner; the name address and telephone number of the petitioner's representative, if any, which shall be the address for service purposes during the course of the proceeding; and an explanation of how the petitioner's substantial rights will be affected by the agency determination; (c) A statement of when and how the

petitioner received notice of the agency action or proposed decision; (d) A statement of all disputed issues of material fact. If there are none, the petition must so state; (e) A concise statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including the specific facts the petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the agency's proposed action; (f) A statement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the agency's proposed action including an explanation of how the alleged facts relate to the specific rules or statutes; and, (g) A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action the petitioner wishes the agency to take with respect to the agency's proposed action. A petition that does not dispute the material facts upon which the Permitting Authority's action is based shall state that no such facts are in dispute and otherwise shall contain the same information as set forth above, as required by Rule 28-106.301, F.A.C.

Because the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate final agency action, the filing of a petition means that the Permitting Authority's final action may be different from the position taken by it in this Public Notice of Intent to Issue Air Permit. Persons whose substantial interests will be affected by any such final decision of the Permitting Authority on the application have the right to petition to become a party to the proceeding, in accordance with the requirements set forth above.

Mediation: Mediation is not available for this proceeding.

Executed in Sarasota, Florida.

SARASOTA COUNTY NATURAL RESOURCES
AIR QUALITY /STORAGE TANK MANAGEMENT

John Hickey, P.E.
Manager
Air Quality/Storage Tank Management

Enclosure

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned duly designated deputy clerk hereby certifies that this Notice of Final Air Permit package was mailed by certified mail before the close of business on ***July 22, 2011*** to:

John Macaskill, *CEO/ Manager*, Millennium Coating, LLC, 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, FL 34240; jmacaskill@asocorp.com

The undersigned duly designated deputy clerk hereby certifies that this Notice of Final Air Permit package was sent by electronic mail (or a link to these documents made available electronically on a publicly accessible server) with received receipt requested before the close of business on ***July 22, 2011*** to the persons listed below:

Cc: Bill Muth Jr., Director of Continuous Improvement, ASO, LLC, 300 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, FL 34240; bmuth@asocorp.com

Sara Greivell, Project Manager, Grove Scientific & Engineering Company, 6140 Edgewater Drive, Suite F, Orlando, FL 32810; sara@grovescientific.com

Cindy Zhang-Torres, P.E. III, *Air Permitting Supervisor*, FDEP SW District, 13051 North

WRITTEN NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE AIR PERMIT

Telecom Parkway, Temple Terrace, FL 33637-0926; Cindy.Zhang-Torres@dep.state.fl.us

David M. Pearce, *Attorney*, Sarasota County Office of the County Attorney; dpearce@scgov.net

Melissa L. Wagar, Sarasota County Office of the County Attorney; mwagar@scgov.net

Clerk Stamp

SARASOTA COUNTY
AIR QUALITY

JUL 22 2011

STORAGE TANK MGMT.

FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FILED, on this date, pursuant to Section 120.52(7), F.S., with the designated SCNR Clerk, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged.

Clerk — Date ***July 22, 2011***

S11PC.023

PUBLIC NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE AIR PERMIT
SARASOTA COUNTY NATURAL RESOURCES
Air Quality/Storage Tank Management
Air Construction Permit
DEP File No.: 1150169-001-AC
Millennium Coating, LLC
Sarasota County, Florida

Applicant: The applicant for this project is Millennium Coating, LLC. The applicant's authorized representative and mailing address is: John Macaskill, CEO/ Manager; Millennium Coating, LLC, 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, Florida 34240.

Facility Location: Millennium Coating, LLC, 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, Sarasota County, Florida 34240.

Project: On June 16, 2011 the applicant submitted a request to allow construction of a facility that will manufacture the white release paper - adhesive coated plastic/ fabric material (i.e., three layer material: white release paper – adhesive – plastic or fabric substrate) used to make adhesive bandages and similar products for medical and consumer markets. The permitted emission unit is the pressure sensitive tape converting line (Standard Industrial Classification No. 2672), issued pursuant to Section 403.087, Florida Statutes, (F.S.). The facility will be located in Sarasota County at 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, FL 34240. The facility will be classified as a Synthetic Minor facility as defined by Rule 62-210.200(297), F.A.C. Operational design of the facility will ensure that emissions of particulate matter (PM and PM-10), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), VOC (Volatile Organic Compounds), and HAP(s) (Hazardous Air Pollutant(s)) are below the Title V permitting thresholds of Chapter 62-213, F.A.C.

Permitting Authority: Applications for air construction permits are subject to review in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes (F.S.) and Chapters 62-4, 62-210 and 62-212 of the Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). The proposed project is not exempt from air permitting requirements and an air permit is required to perform the proposed work. The Permitting Authority responsible for making a permit determination for this project is Sarasota County Natural Resources (SCNR) Air Quality/Storage Tank Management, 1001 Sarasota Center Blvd.,, Sarasota, Florida 34240; phone (941)861-0675.

Project File: A complete project file is available for public inspection during the normal business hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday (except legal holidays), at the physical address indicated above for the Permitting Authority. The complete project file includes the Draft Permit, the Technical Evaluation and Preliminary Determination, the application and information submitted by the applicant (exclusive of confidential records under Section 403.111, F.S.). Interested persons may contact the Permitting Authority's project engineer for additional information at the address and phone number listed above. In addition, electronic copies of these documents are available on the following web site: http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/eproducts/apds/default.asp.

Notice of Intent to Issue Air Permit: The Permitting Authority gives notice of its intent to issue an air construction permit to the applicant for the project described above. The applicant has provided reasonable assurance that operation of proposed equipment will not adversely impact air quality and that the project will comply with all appropriate provisions of Chapters 62-4, 62-204, 62-210, 62-212, 62-296 and 62-297, F.A.C. The Permitting Authority will issue a Final Permit in accordance with the conditions of the proposed Draft Permit unless a timely petition for an administrative hearing is filed under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S. or unless public comment received in accordance with this notice results in a different decision or a significant change of terms or conditions.

Comments: The Permitting Authority will accept written comments concerning the proposed Draft Permit for a period of 14 days from the date of publication of this Public Notice. Written comments must be received by the Permitting Authority by close of business (5:00 p.m.) on or before the end of the 14-day period. If written comments received result

in a significant change to the Draft Permit, the Permitting Authority shall revise the Draft Permit and require, if applicable, another Public Notice. All comments filed will be made available for public inspection.

Petitions: A person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed permitting decision may petition for an administrative hearing in accordance with Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S. The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed with (received by) the Office of the County Attorney, 1660 Ringling Boulevard, Second Floor, Sarasota, Florida 34236; (941)861-7272. Petitions filed by any persons other than those entitled to written notice under Section 120.60(3), F.S. must be filed within 14 days of publication of this Public Notice or receipt of a written notice, whichever occurs first. Under Section 120.60(3), F.S., however, any person who asked the Permitting Authority for notice of agency action may file a petition within 14 days of receipt of that notice, regardless of the date of publication. A petitioner shall mail a copy of the petition to the applicant at the address indicated above, at the time of filing. The failure of any person to file a petition within the appropriate time period shall constitute a waiver of that person's right to request an administrative determination (hearing) under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S., or to intervene in this proceeding and participate as a party to it. Any subsequent intervention (in a proceeding initiated by another party) will be only at the approval of the presiding officer upon the filing of a motion in compliance with Rule 28-106.205, F.A.C.

A petition that disputes the material facts on which the Permitting Authority's action is based must contain the following information: (a) The name and address of each agency affected and each agency's file or identification number, if known; (b) The name, address and telephone number of the petitioner; the name address and telephone number of the petitioner's representative, if any, which shall be the address for service purposes during the course of the proceeding; and an explanation of how the petitioner's substantial rights will be affected by the agency determination; (c) A statement of when and how the petitioner received notice of the agency action or proposed decision; (d) A statement of all disputed issues of material fact. If there are none, the petition must so state; (e) A concise statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including the specific facts the petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the agency's proposed action; (f) A statement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the agency's proposed action including an explanation of how the alleged facts relate to the specific rules or statutes; and, (g) A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action the petitioner wishes the agency to take with respect to the agency's proposed action. A petition that does not dispute the material facts upon which the Permitting Authority's action is based shall state that no such facts are in dispute and otherwise shall contain the same information as set forth above, as required by Rule 28-106.301, F.A.C.

Because the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate final agency action, the filing of a petition means that the Permitting Authority's final action may be different from the position taken by it in this Public Notice of Intent to Issue Air Permit. Persons whose substantial interests will be affected by any such final decision of the Permitting Authority on the application have the right to petition to become a party to the proceeding, in accordance with the requirements set forth above.

Mediation: Mediation is not available for this proceeding.

S11PC.024

(Public Notice to be Published in the Newspaper)

TECHNICAL EVALUATION

AND

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION

FOR

Millennium Coating, LLC
Sarasota County

Permit Application Number

1150169-001-AC

Sarasota County Natural Resources

Air Quality/Storage Tank Management

Sarasota, FL

July 8, 2011

Prepared by: Susan Cameron, ESIII

I. Project Description:

A. Applicant:
John Macaskill, CEO/ Manger
Millennium Coating, LLC
330 Sarasota Center Blvd.
Sarasota, FL 34240

B. Engineer:
James Show, P.E., Vice President Engineering
Grove Scientific and Engineering Company

C. Project Location:
Millennium Coating, LLC, 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, FL 34240

D. Project: On June 16, 2011 the applicant submitted a request to allow construction of a facility that will manufacture the white release paper - adhesive coated plastic/ fabric material (i.e., three layer material: white release paper – adhesive – plastic or fabric substrate) used to make adhesive bandages and similar products for medical and consumer markets. The permitted emission unit is the pressure sensitive tape converting line (Standard Industrial Classification No. 2672), issued pursuant to Section 403.087, Florida Statutes, (F.S.). The facility will be located in Sarasota County at 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, FL 34240. Operational design of the facility will ensure that emissions of particulate matter (PM and PM-10), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), VOC (Volatile Organic Compounds), and HAP(s) (Hazardous Air Pollutant(s)) are below the Title V permitting thresholds of Chapter 62-213, F.A.C. The facility will be classified as a Synthetic Minor facility as defined by Rule 62-210.200(297), F.A.C.:

> Synthetic Non-Title V Source: A facility that would be classified as a Title V source, but for a physical or operational limitation assumed by the owner or operator on the capacity of the facility to emit a pollutant, including any air pollution control equipment and any restriction on hours of operation or on the type or amount of material combusted, stored, or processed, provided that such physical or operational limitation is federally enforceable.

The facility ***is*** subject to New Source Performance Standards for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations, 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart RR.

E. Process and Controls: Millennium Coating, LLC is a facility that will manufacture the white release paper - adhesive coated plastic/ fabric material (i.e., three layer material: white release paper – adhesive – plastic or fabric substrate) used to make adhesive bandages and similar products for medical and consumer markets. The permitted emission unit is the pressure sensitive tape converting line.

A 60-inch wide white release paper roll is unwound and fed to the coating/ converting line at a line speed of 130 feet/ minute where it will receive 655 lbs adhesive/ hour. The adhesive coated quick release paper web is dried in a 72 foot oven and then married to the unwound plastic/ fabric bandage substrate in the pressure laminator. This is where the adhesive is transferred from the white release paper to the plastic/ fabric substrate material. The resultant joined product (i.e., white release paper – adhesive coated plastic/ fabric substrate) is rewound and cut for use in the bandage making/ wrapping machine.

The adhesive coating/ conversion line with adhesive day tank and slot die coating head will be located in an adhesive permanent total enclosure (APTE). Emissions from the APTE and drying oven will be vented to an 8,000 scfm GLENRO REGENEX™ Regenerative Thermal Oxidizer (RTO). Heat from the RTO will be recycled back to the drying oven via a main mixing box. The RTO, mixing box and oven are an integrated system. The mixing box and RTO are each equipped with a 2 MMBtu/hr burner (e.g., a total of 4 MMBtu/hr). The fuel used for combustion will be natural gas.

The RTO will capture 100% of converting line and oven VOC emissions (~1,106.34 tpy). The destruction efficiency will be certified by the manufacturer to be 99%, with resultant controlled VOC emissions of 11.06 tpy.

Methyl Ethyl Ketone will be used as the cleanup solvent. It is assumed that 100% of cleanup solvent VOC emissions (~0.93 tpy) are vented uncontrolled to the atmosphere.

The facility will operate a Reddy~Buffaloes Pump Co., Inc. Model #6 x 6 DF emergency electric fire pump. The fire pump is exempt from permitting under 62-210.300(3) (a.)(15.), F.A.C. The fire pump motor will be an induction motor (i.e., electric) rated for 100 hp at 460 volts alternating current (VAC).

F. Application Information:
Received on: June 7, 2011
Sufficient Fee Received: June 16, 2011
Application Complete: June 16, 2011

II. Rule Applicability:

FACILITY REGULATORY CLASSIFICATION

Subject to:	Y/N
Rule 62-212.300, Stationary Sources – Preconstruction Review, General Preconstruction Review Requirements, F.A.C.[1]	**Y**
Rule 62-212.400, Prevention of Significant Deterioration, F.A.C[2]	N
Rule 62-296.320, Stationary Sources – Emission Standards – General Pollutant Emission Limiting Standards, F.A.C.[3, 4]	**Y**
Rule 62-296.500, Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) – Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) and Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) Emitting Facilities[5]	N
Rule 62-296.700, Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Particulate Matter[6]	N
Rule 62-204.800(8), Stds. of Performance for New Stationary Sources, F.A.C.[7] (NSPS)	**Y**
Rule 62-204.800 (9), Title 40 CFR Part 60, Emission Guidelines and Compliance Times[8]	**Y**
Rule 62-204.800(10), Natl. Emission Stds. for Hazardous Air Pollutants, F.A.C.[9] (NESHAPS)	N
Rule 62-204.800 (11) National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories F.A.C. [10] (NESHAPS for Source Categories)	N
Chapter 62-213, Operation Permits for Major Sources of Air Pollution, F.A.C. [11]	N
Rule 62-297.310, Stationary Sources – Emissions Monitoring - General Compliance Test Requirements, F.A.C.[12]	**Y**

1. Facility ***is not*** exempt from general permitting requirements.
2. Facility ***is*** PSD minor source.
3. Facility ***may be*** a source of unconfined particulate matter.
4. Facility ***may be*** a source of odors.
5. Facility ***is not*** located in an ozone nonattainment or air quality maintenance area.
6. Facility ***is not*** located in a PM air quality maintenance area or in the area of influence of such an area.
7. Facility ***is*** subject to 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart RR NSPS for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations.
8. Facility ***is*** subject to 40 CFR Part 60 Air Pollution Control, General Provisions Emission Guidelines and Compliance Times, F.A.C.
9. Facility ***is not*** subject to 40 CFR Part 61 NESHAPS.
10. Facility ***is not*** subject to 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJ NESHAPS for Paper and Other Web Coating.
11. Facility ***is*** synthetic minor.
12. Compliance testing ***is*** required annually.

This project ***is*** subject to the general preconstruction review requirements in Rule 62-212.300, Stationary Sources - Pre-construction Review - General Preconstruction Review Requirements, F.A.C., since the project is not exempt from general permitting requirements.

This project ***is not*** subject to the requirements of Rule 62-212.400, Stationary Sources – Pre-construction Review - Prevention of Significant Deterioration, F.A.C., or Rule 62-212.500, Stationary Sources - Pre-construction Review - Pre-construction Review for Nonattainment Areas, F.A.C., since the source is minor by state definition and is not located in a nonattainment area.

This project ***is*** subject to the requirements of Rule 62-296.320, Stationary Sources - Emission Standards - General Pollutant Emission Limiting Standards, F.A.C., since it may be source of fugitive particulate matter emissions, and it is a potential source of odors.

This project ***is not*** subject to Rule 62-296.500, Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) - Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) and Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) Emitting Facilities, F.A.C., since the facility is not in a designated ozone nonattainment or air quality maintenance area; or a NOx emitting facility in a designated area.

This project ***is not*** subject to Rule 62-296.700, Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Particulate Matter, F.A.C., since the facility is not in a particulate matter (PM) air quality maintenance area or in the area of influence of such an air quality maintenance area.

This project ***is*** subject to the requirements of Rule 62-204.800(8), Air Pollution Control - General Provisions - Federal Regulations Adopted by Reference - Chapter 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 60, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources, F.A.C., since the project is an affected source category: 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart RR NSPS for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations.

This project ***is*** subject to the requirements of Rule 62-204.800(9), Air Pollution Control - General Provisions Federal Regulations Adopted by Reference - Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 60, Emission Guidelines and Compliance Times, F.A.C., since there is a source category.

This project ***is not*** subject to the requirements of Rule 62-204.800(10), Air Pollution Control - General Provisions - Federal Regulations Adopted by Reference - Chapter 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, F.A.C., since the facility is not an affected source category.

This project ***is not*** subject to the requirements of Rule 62-204.800(11), Air Pollution Control - General Provisions - Federal Regulations Adopted by Reference - Chapter 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 63, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories, F.A.C., 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJ National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Paper and Other Web Coating since the project is minor. 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJ Section 63.3290 states:

> "The provisions of this subpart apply to each new and existing facility that is a major source of HAP, as defined in §63.2, at which web coating lines are operated."

40 CFR Part 63 Subpart A Section 63.2 defines:

> *"Hazardous air pollutant* means any air pollutant listed in or pursuant to section 112(b) of the Act.
>
> *Major source* means any stationary source or group of stationary sources located within a contiguous area and under common control that emits or has the potential to emit considering controls, in the aggregate, 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant or 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants, unless the Administrator establishes

a lesser quantity, or in the case of radionuclides, different criteria from those specified in this sentence."

This project ***is not*** subject to the Title V permitting requirements of Rule 62-213, Operation Permits for Major Sources of Air Pollution, F.A.C., since emissions are below the Title V permitting thresholds of Chapter 62-213, F.A.C.

III. Summary of Emissions

VOC emissions are limited by rule.
Visible emissions are limited by rule.
There shall be no objectionable odors.

	Actual Emissions[1]		Potential Emissions[2]	
Pollutant	lb/hr[3]	tpy	lb/hr[3]	tpy
VOC	2.76	12.08[4]	2.76	12.08
HAP	0.98	4.30	0.98	4.30
Toluene	0.98	4.30	0.98	4.30
PM	0.03	0.13	0.03	0.13
PM-10	0.03	0.13	0.03	0.13
NOX	0.38	1.67	0.38	1.67
SO2	0.0	0.01	0.0	0.01
CO	0.32	1.40	0.32	1.40

1 Actual emissions ~= potential emissions after controls.

2 Potential emissions calculated from data provided in the application AND include controlled emissions from the converting line and oven that are sent to the RTO, uncontrolled cleanup VOC emissions and natural gas combustion emissions.

3 Hours of operation are unrestricted (e.g., 8,760 hrs/yr)

4 11.06 + 0.93 + 0.09 = 12.08 tpy

Facility emissions ~= EU-001 emissions. Emissions are calculated using mass balance data and assumed control efficiencies. Detailed information is available in the EPSAP Inventory report for this project.

IV. Conclusions

The emission limits proposed by the applicant will meet all of the requirements of Chapter 62-296, F.A.C. The General and Specific Conditions listed in the proposed permit (attached) will assure compliance with all the applicable requirements of Chapters 62-204 through 62-297, F.A.C.

V. Proposed Agency Action

Pursuant to Section 403.087, Florida Statutes and Section 62-4.070, Florida Administrative Code, SCNR hereby gives notice of its intent to issue a construction permit for the aforementioned air pollution source in accordance with the draft permit and its conditions as stipulated (see attached).

S11PC.025

DRAFT

SARASOTA COUNTY
"Dedicated to Quality Service"

July 21, 2011

AIR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT

CERTIFIED MAIL #7003 3110 0002 8486 5572
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED#:

PERMITTEE:
Millennium Coating, LLC
330 Sarasota Center Blvd.
Sarasota, FL 34240

Authorized Representative:
John Macaskill, CEO/ Manager

Air Permit No.: 1150169-001-AC
County: Sarasota
Expiration Date: December 31, 2012

Millennium Coating, LLC
Air Construction Permit Project: Pressure Sensitive Tape Converting Line

This air construction permit (permit 1150169-001-AC) authorizes construction of a facility that will manufacture the white release paper - adhesive coated plastic/ fabric material (i.e., three layer material: white release paper – adhesive – plastic or fabric substrate) used to make adhesive bandages and similar products for medical and consumer markets. The permitted emission unit is the pressure sensitive tape converting line (Standard Industrial Classification No. 2672), issued pursuant to Section 403.087, Florida Statutes, (F.S.). The facility will be located in Sarasota County at 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, FL 34240.
This permit is organized by the following sections.

Section 1. General Information
Section 2. Administrative Requirements
Section 3. Facility Wide Specific Conditions
Section 4. Emissions Unit Specific Conditions
Section 5. Appendices

Because of the technical nature of the project, the permit contains numerous acronyms and abbreviations, which are defined in Section 5. Appendix A of this permit.

This permit is issued under the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes, and Florida Administrative Code Chapters 62-204, 62-210, 62-212, 62-213, 62-296, 62-297, and Chapter 62-4. The above named permittee is hereby authorized to perform the work or operate the facility shown on the application and

approved drawing(s), plans and other documents, attached hereto or on file with Sarasota County Natural Resources (SCNR). This project is subject to the general preconstruction review requirements in Rule 62-212.300, F.A.C. and is not subject to the preconstruction review requirements for major stationary sources in Rule 62-212.400, F.A.C. for the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) of Air Quality.

Any party to this Order (air permit) has the right to seek judicial review of the Order pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, by the filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the Clerk of the Office of the County Attorney, 1660 Ringling Boulevard, Sarasota, Florida 34236; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within thirty (30) days from the date this Order is filed with the Clerk of the Office of the County Attorney.

Executed in Sarasota, Florida.

SARASOTA COUNTY NATURAL RESOURCES
AIR QUALITY/STORAGE TANK MANAGEMENT

Susan Cameron	John T. Hickey, P.E.
Environmental Specialist III	*Manager*
Sarasota County Natural Resources	Sarasota County Natural Resources
Air Quality/Storage Tank Management	Air Quality/Storage Tank Management

Enclosure

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned duly designated deputy clerk hereby certifies that this Notice of Final Air Permit package was mailed by certified mail before the close of business on ***July 22, 2011*** to:

John Macaskill, *CEO/ Manager*, Millennium Coating, LLC, 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, FL 34240; jmacaskill@asocorp.com

The undersigned duly designated deputy clerk hereby certifies that this Notice of Final Air Permit package was sent by electronic mail (or a link to these documents made available electronically on a publicly accessible server) with received receipt requested before the close of business on ***July 22, 2011*** to the persons listed below:

Cc: Bill Muth Jr., Director of Continuous Improvement, ASO, LLC, 300 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, FL 34240; bmuth@asocorp.com

Sara Greivell, Project Manager, Grove Scientific & Engineering Company, 6140 Edgewater Drive, Suite F, Orlando, FL 32810; sara@grovescientific.com

Cindy Zhang-Torres, P.E. III, *Air Permitting Supervisor*, FDEP SW District, 13051 North Telecom Parkway, Temple Terrace, FL 33637-0926; Cindy.Zhang-Torres@dep.state.fl.us

David M. Pearce, *Attorney*, Sarasota County Office of the County Attorney; dpearce@scgov.net

Melissa L. Wagar, Sarasota County Office of the County Attorney; mwagar@scgov.net

Clerk Stamp

FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FILED, on this date, pursuant to Section 120.52(7), F.S., with the designated SCNR Clerk, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged.

_____ ***July 22, 2011***

Clerk Date

DRAFT

SECTION 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

PERMITTEE:
Millennium Coating, LLC
330 Sarasota Center Blvd.
Sarasota, FL 34240

Permit No.: 1150169-001-AC
County: Sarasota
Effective Date: July __, 2011
Expiration Date: December 31, 2012
Project: Pressure Sensitive Tape Converting Line

FACILITY AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This air construction permit (Permit 1150169-001-AC) authorizes construction of a facility that will manufacture the white release paper - adhesive coated plastic/ fabric material (i.e., three layer material: white release paper – adhesive – plastic or fabric substrate) used to make adhesive bandages and similar products for medical and consumer markets. The permitted emission unit is the pressure sensitive tape converting line.

A 60-inch wide white release paper roll is unwound and fed to the coating/ converting line at a line speed of 130 feet/ minute where it will receive 655 lbs adhesive/ hour. The adhesive coated quick release paper web is dried in a 72 foot oven and then married to the unwound plastic/ fabric bandage substrate in the pressure laminator. This is where the adhesive is transferred from the white release paper to the plastic/ fabric substrate material. The resultant joined product (i.e., white release paper – adhesive coated plastic/ fabric substrate) is rewound and cut for use in the bandage making/ wrapping machine.

The adhesive coating/ conversion line with adhesive day tank and slot die coating head will be located in an adhesive permanent total enclosure (APTE). Emissions from the APTE and drying oven will be vented to an 8,000 scfm GLENRO REGENEX™ Regenerative Thermal Oxidizer (RTO). Heat from the RTO will be recycled back to the drying oven via a main mixing box. The RTO, mixing box and oven are an integrated system. The mixing box and RTO are each equipped with a 2 MMBtu/hr burner (e.g., a total of 4 MMBtu/hr). The fuel used for combustion will be natural gas.

The RTO will capture 100% of converting line and oven VOC emissions (~1,106.34 tpy). The destruction efficiency will be certified by the manufacturer to be 99%, with resultant controlled VOC emissions of 11.06 tpy.

Methyl Ethyl Ketone will be used as the cleanup solvent. It is assumed that 100% of cleanup solvent VOC emissions (~0.93 tpy) are vented uncontrolled to the atmosphere.

SECTION 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

The facility will operate a Reddy~Buffaloes Pump Co., Inc. Model #6 x 6 DF emergency electric fire pump. The fire pump is exempt from permitting under 62-210.300(3) (a.)(15.), F.A.C. The fire pump motor will be an induction motor (i.e., electric) rated for 100 hp at 460 volts alternating current (VAC).

Operational design of the facility will ensure that emissions of particulate matter (PM and PM-10), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), VOC (Volatile Organic Compounds), and HAP(s) (Hazardous Air Pollutant(s)) are below the Title V permitting thresholds of Chapter 62-213, F.A.C. The facility will be classified as a Synthetic Minor facility as defined by Rule 62-210.200(297), F.A.C.:

> Synthetic Non-Title V Source: A facility that would be classified as a Title V source, but for a physical or operational limitation assumed by the owner or operator on the capacity of the facility to emit a pollutant, including any air pollution control equipment and any restriction on hours of operation or on the type or amount of material combusted, stored, or processed, provided that such physical or operational limitation is federally enforceable.

The facility ***is*** subject to New Source Performance Standards for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations, 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart RR.

The conditions of this permit will ensure emissions remain below the Title V permitting thresholds of Chapter 62-213, F.A.C.

FACILITY REGULATORY CLASSIFICATION

Subject to:	Y/N
Rule 62-212.300, Stationary Sources – Preconstruction Review, General Preconstruction Review Requirements, F.A.C.[1]	**Y**
Rule 62-212.400, Prevention of Significant Deterioration, F.A.C[2]	N
Rule 62-296.320, Stationary Sources – Emission Standards – General Pollutant Emission Limiting Standards, F.A.C.[3, 4]	**Y**
Rule 62-296.500, Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) – Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) and Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) Emitting Facilities[5]	N
Rule 62-296.700, Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Particulate Matter[6]	N
Rule 62-204.800(8), Stds. of Performance for New Stationary Sources, F.A.C.[7] (NSPS)	**Y**
Rule 62-204.800 (9), Title 40 CFR Part 60, Emission Guidelines and Compliance Times[8]	**Y**
Rule 62-204.800(10), Natl. Emission Stds. for Hazardous Air Pollutants, F.A.C.[9] (NESHAPS)	N
Rule 62-204.800 (11) National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories F.A.C. [10] (NESHAPS for Source Categories)	N
Chapter 62-213, Operation Permits for Major Sources of Air Pollution, F.A.C. [11]	N

SECTION 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

Rule 62-297.310, Stationary Sources – Emissions Monitoring - General Compliance Test Requirements, F.A.C.[12]	**Y**

1. Facility ***is not*** exempt from general permitting requirements.
2. Facility ***is*** PSD minor source.
3. Facility ***may be*** a source of unconfined particulate matter.
4. Facility ***may be*** a source of odors.
5. Facility ***is not*** located in an ozone nonattainment or air quality maintenance area.
6. Facility ***is not*** located in a PM air quality maintenance area or in the area of influence of such an area.
7. Facility ***is*** subject to 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart RR NSPS for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations.
8. Facility ***is*** subject to 40 CFR Part 60 Air Pollution Control, General Provisions Emission Guidelines and Compliance Times, F.A.C.
9. Facility ***is not*** subject to 40 CFR Part 61 NESHAPS.
10. Facility ***is not*** subject to 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJ NESHAPS for Paper and Other Web Coating.
11. Facility ***is*** synthetic minor.
12. Compliance testing ***is*** required annually.

PERTINENT DOCUMENTS:

Original air pollution construction permit application dated June 9, 2011.

Facility Information Summary:
Site/Location: Millennium Coating, LLC; 330 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, Sarasota County, Florida 34240

UTM: 17-361.03 E 3025.48 N Latitude: 27°20'43.5480" Longitude: 82°24'17.6544"

Facility ID No: 1150169 Emission Unit (EU) ID No.: 001 - Pressure Sensitive Tape Converting Line

Note: Please reference Permit No. and Emission Unit (EU) ID No. in all correspondence, test report submittals, applications, etc.

SECTION 2. ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

1. Permitting Authority: The permitting authority for this project is Sarasota County Natural Resources (SCNR), Air Quality/Storage Tank Management. SCNR's mailing address is: SCNR Air Quality/ Storage Tank Management; 1001 Sarasota Center Blvd. Sarasota; Sarasota, Florida 34240. All documents related to applications for permits shall be submitted in triplicate to SCNR.

2. Compliance Authority: All documents related to compliance activities such as reports, tests, and notifications shall be submitted to SCNR. The mailing address and phone number are: SCNR Air Quality/ Storage Tank Management; 1001 Sarasota Center Blvd.; Sarasota, Florida 34240; (941)861-0675.

3. Appendices: The following Appendices are attached as part of this permit:

   Appendix A. Citation Formats and Glossary of Common Terms;

   Appendix B. General Conditions;

   Appendix C. Common Conditions;

   Appendix D. Common Testing Requirements;

   Appendix E. 40 CFR 60 Subpart RR NSPS for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations; and,

   Appendix F. 40 CFR 60 Subpart A General Provisions.

4. Applicable Regulations, Forms, and Application Procedures: All applicable rules and design discharge limitations specified in the application shall be adhered to. The facility is subject to all applicable provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes, and Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) Rules 62-4, 62-204, 62-210, 62-212, 62-213, 62-296 and 62-297. Issuance of this permit does not relieve the permittee from complying with any applicable requirements, any emission limiting standards or other requirements of the air pollution rules of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), SCNR or any other such requirements under Federal, State, or Local law. [Rules 62-210.300 and 62-4.070(7), F.A.C.]

5. New or Additional Conditions: For good cause shown and after notice and an administrative hearing, if requested, SCNR may require the permittee to conform to new or additional conditions. SCNR shall allow the permittee a reasonable time to conform to the new or additional conditions, and on application of the permittee, SCNR may grant additional time. [Rule 62-4.080, F.A.C.]

6. Modification: Any physical or operational change to an existing facility which results in an increase in the emission rate to the atmosphere of any pollutant to which a standard applies shall

be considered a modification. Upon modification, an existing facility shall become an affected facility for each pollutant to which a standard applies and for which there is an increase in the emission rate to the atmosphere. No emissions unit or facility shall be constructed or modified without obtaining an air construction permit from SCNR prior to the beginning of construction or modification. [40 CFR 60.14; Rules 62-4.030, 62-4.070(3), 62-4.080(2), 62-210.300(1) and 62-212.300(1), F.A.C.]

7. Rule Compliance: A facility or emissions unit subject to any standard or requirement of 40 CFR. Part 60, 61, 63 or 65, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall comply with such standard or requirement. [Rule 62-296.100(3), F.A.C.]

8. Notification of Date of Construction and Completion of Construction: A notification of the date construction commenced, postmarked no later than 30 days after such date shall be submitted to SCNR. A notification of the actual date of initial startup, postmarked within 15 days after such date, shall be submitted to SCNR. [40 CFR 60.7(a)(1) and (3); Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

9. Air Operation Permit: A completed application for an air operation permit shall be submitted to SCNR Air Quality/ Storage Tank Management no later than 60 days prior to the expiration date of this construction permit. To properly apply for an operation permit, the applicant shall submit the following:
   a. the appropriate permit application form *(see current version of Rule 62-210.900, F.A.C. (Forms and Instructions), and/or FDEP Division of Air Resource Management website at: http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/)*;
   b. the appropriate operation permit application fee from Rule 62-4.050(4)(a), F.A.C.;
   c. a copy of the performance test report specified in Specific Condition No. 19; and,
   d. a copy of the most recent two (2) months of the equipment operating records specified in Specific Condition No.s 25 and 26.

   [Rules 62-4.030, 62-4.050, 62-4.070(3), 62-4.090, 62-210.300(2) and 62-210.900, F.A.C.]

SECTION 3. FACILITY WIDE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS
A. Facility Wide

***Facility wide** Performance Restrictions*:
This section of the permit addresses the entire facility. Facility wide General Conditions, Common Conditions and Common Testing Conditions are located in Appendices B, C and D, respectively.

10. Operating Hours and Emissions Limits: The Pressure Sensitive Tape Converting Line and associated equipment are permitted to operate continuously (i.e., 8,760 hours/year). Emissions from the facility are limited 10 tpy HAP (e.g., Toluene); 25 TPY total combined HAPs; and 25 tpy VOC. [Rules 62-4.070(3) and 62-210.200(239) PTE, F.A.C.]

11. Circumvention: The permittee shall not circumvent any air pollution control devices or allow the emissions of air pollutants without the air pollution control device (i.e., GLENRO REGENEX™ Regenerative Thermal Oxidizer (RTO)) operating properly. The permittee shall not build, erect, install, or use any article, machine, equipment or process, the use of which conceals an emission which would otherwise constitute a violation of an applicable standard. Such concealment includes, but is not limited to, the use of gaseous diluents to achieve compliance with an opacity standard or with a standard which is based on the concentration of a pollutant in the gases discharged to the atmosphere. [40 CFR 60.12; Rules 62-4.070(3), 62-210.650 and 62-210.700(4), F.A.C.]

12. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)/Organic Solvents Emissions: No person shall store, pump, handle process, load, unload or use in any process or installation, volatile organic compounds or organic solvents without applying known and existing vapor emissions control devices or systems deemed necessary and ordered by SCNR. Such controls include the following:
    a. Tightly cover or close all adhesive/ solvent/ coating containers when they are not in use;
    b. Tightly cover all adhesive/ solvent/ coating day tanks when not in use;
    c. Maintain all pipes/ valves/ fittings/ hoses in good operating condition;
    d. Confine rags used with adhesives/ solvents/ coatings to tightly closed, fireproof containers when not in use; and,
    e. Immediately confine and clean up any spills; make sure wastes are placed in closed containers for reuse/ recycling/ proper disposal.

    [Rules 62-4.070(3) and 62-296.320(1), F.A.C.]

SECTION 4. EMISSIONS UNIT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS
A. Emissions Unit 001

Emissions Units -001 *Performance Restrictions*:

Emissions Unit ID No.	*Description*
001	Pressure Sensitive Tape Converting Line

13. NSPS Applicability: This facility is subject to the requirements 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart RR, NSPS for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations, and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart A, General Provisions. *SEE the following attached appendices:*

    Appendix E. 40 CFR 60 Subpart RR NSPS for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations.

    Appendix F. 40 CFR 60 Subpart A General Provisions

    [Rules 62-204.800(8)(b)48. & (c), F.A.C.; 40 CFR 60 Subparts A and RR]

14. VOC Emissions Limit: VOC emissions are limited to 0.20 kg VOC/ kg of coating solids applied as calculated on a weighted average basis for one calendar month. The facility must meet this limit OR, the facility must demonstrate a 90 percent overall VOC emission reduction as calculated over a calendar month. [40 CFR 60.442(a)(1); 40 CFR 60.442(a)(2)(i); and Rule 62-204.800(8)(b)48., F.A.C.]

15. Emissions Control System: The GLENRO REGENEX™ Regenerative Thermal Oxidizer (RTO) shall be designed to capture 100% of the converting line and oven VOC emissions. The destruction efficiency shall be certified by the manufacturer to be no less than 99%. At a minimum, the RTO shall destroy 90% of captured VOC emissions. [Rules 62-4.070(3) and 62-210.650, F.A.C.]

16. Allowable Fuels: Fuel used for the RTO and mixing box shall be limited to natural gas. [Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

17. Operation & Maintenance: At all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, owners and operators shall, to the extent practicable, maintain and operate any affected facility including associated air pollution control equipment in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions. [40 CFR 60.11(d); Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

18. Monitoring Device(s): Millennium Coating, LLC shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a monitoring device which continuously indicates and records the temperature of the thermal incineration solvent destruction device's (the RTO's) exhaust gases. The monitoring device shall have an accuracy of the greater of ±0.75 percent of the temperature being measured expressed in degrees Celsius or ±2.5 °C. [40 CFR 60.445(e); Rules 62-4.070(3) and 62-210.650, F.A.C]

Testing Requirements

19. Performance Testing and Reporting: Emissions from the RTO exhaust shall be tested for VOCs within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the affected facility will be operated, but not later than 180 days after initial startup*; *AND* within and within 120 days prior to the expiration date of the operation permit thereafter. Performance test data and results shall be submitted to the SCNR, within 45 days after the test is completed. The minimum requirements for stationary point source emissions test procedures and reporting shall be in accordance with Rules 62-297.310 and 297.401, F.A.C. [40 CFR 60.8(a); Rules 62-4.070(3), 62-204.800(8), 62-297.310, 62-297.310(7)(a)4.a., 62-297.310(8) and 62-297.401, F.A.C.]

    (Clarification: "Startup" – Startup means the setting in operation of an affected facility for any purpose. *[40 CFR 60.2]*

20. Performance Test Methods: Performance tests shall be conducted and data reduced in accordance with the test methods and procedures contained in 40 CFR 60 Subpart RR. EPA Method 25 shall be used to determine the VOC concentration, in parts per million by volume, of each effluent gas stream entering and exiting the solvent destruction device or its equivalent, and each effluent gas stream emitted directly to the atmosphere. Methods 1, 2, 3, and 4 shall be used to determine the sampling location, volumetric flow rate, molecular weight, and moisture of all sampled gas streams. For Method 25, the sampling time for each of three runs must be at least 1 hour. The minimum sampling volume must be 0.003 dscm. [40 CFR 60.8(4)(b); 40 CFR 60.446(b); Rules 62-204.800(8)(b)48. & (d)7., and 62-297.401(25), F.A.C.].

    Each performance test shall consist of three separate runs using the applicable test method. Each run shall be conducted for the time and under the conditions specified in the applicable standard. [40 CFR 60.8(f); Rule 62-297.310(1), F.A.C.]

    Performance tests shall be conducted under such conditions that are representative of performance during normal operation (90 to 100% of the maximum permitted operation rate of the emissions units). If an emissions unit is not tested at permitted capacity, the emissions unit shall not be operated above 110% of the test load until a new test showing compliance is conducted. Operation of the emissions unit above 110% of the test load is allowed for no more than 15 days for the purpose of conducting additional compliance testing to regain the authority to operate at the permitted capacity [40 CFR 60.8(c); Rule 62-297.310(2), F.A.C.]

21. Test Notification: The permittee shall provide SCNR 15 days prior notice of any performance test, the test date, time, place, and contact person. [40 CFR 60.8(d); Rule 62-297.310(7)(a) 9, F.A.C.]

22. Testing Platform and Equipment: The owner or operator of an affected facility shall provide, or cause to be provided, performance testing facilities as follows:
    a. Sampling ports adequate for test methods applicable to such facility. This includes (i) constructing the air pollution control system such that volumetric flow rates and pollutant emission rates can be accurately determined by applicable test methods and procedures and (ii) providing a stack or duct free of cyclonic flow during performance tests, as demonstrated by applicable test methods and procedures;
    b. Safe sampling platform(s);
    c. Safe access to sampling platform(s); and,
    d. Utilities for sampling and testing equipment.

    [40 CFR 60.8(e); Rules 62-4.070(3) and 62-297.310(6), F.A.C.]

Recordkeeping Requirements

23. The VOC and HAP content per unit of coating solids applied and compliance with the emissions limitations of Specific Condition No. 10 shall be determined by the manufacturers' formulation data. [40 CFR 60.445(a); Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

24. Emissions Calculations: When calculating emissions from the emissions unit, the permittee shall use the destruction efficiency value determined by the most recent performance test conducted on the RTO. [Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

25. VOC Recordkeeping: The permittee shall determine the VOC content of all materials, including solvents, shall monitor the usage of such materials at the referenced emissions unit(s), and shall record and maintain the following information:
    a. The VOC content for each material containing or emitting VOCs;
    b. The material utilization rate on a monthly basis, for all materials containing or emitting VOCs used at the referenced emissions unit(s);
    c. The total monthly VOC emissions rates for each material, calculated from the monthly material utilization rates and the VOC content, calculated for the preceding month no later than 10 days after the end of that month; and,

d. A rolling consecutive 12-month total emissions rate for VOCs, calculated from the monthly totals for the previous twelve calendar months.

[Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

26. HAP Recordkeeping: The permittee shall determine the total and individual HAP contents of all materials, including solvents, shall monitor the usage of such materials at the referenced emissions unit(s), and shall record and maintain the following information:
    a. The individual and total HAP contents for each material containing or emitting HAPs;
    b. The material utilization rate on a monthly basis, for all materials containing or emitting HAPs used at the referenced emissions unit(s);
    c. The individual and total monthly HAP emissions rates for each material, calculated from the monthly material utilization rates and the individual and total HAP content, calculated for the preceding month no later than 10 days after the end of that month; and,
    d. A rolling consecutive 12-month total emissions rate for individual and total HAPs, calculated from the monthly totals for the previous twelve calendar months.

    [Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

27. RTO Temperatures: Records of the RTO chamber temperature from the monitoring equipment shall be maintained and made available for review by SCNR. [Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

28. Equipment Calibration: The date, the name of the technician, and procedures for performing the calibration on the continuous temperature monitoring system shall be documented. Such records shall be kept in a maintenance log and made available to SCNR. Additionally, maintenance and repair activities on the RTO shall be documented and included in the maintenance log. [Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

Reporting Requirements

29. Abnormal Event Reports: The permittee shall promptly (by telephone during normal business hours) report any abnormal event which occurs at the facility, and within thirty (30) days of this verbal report, the permittee shall submit a written report. The written report shall include abnormal events and the corrective actions taken. [Rules 62-4.070(3) and 62-210.700, F.A.C.]

SECTION 4. EMISSIONS UNIT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS
A. Emissions Unit 001

30. Quarterly and/ or Semiannual Reports: Following the initial performance test, the owner or operator of each affected facility shall submit quarterly reports to SCNR of exceedances of the VOC emission limits specified in Specific Condition No.s 10 and 14. If no such exceedances occur during a particular quarter, a report stating this shall be submitted to SCNR semiannually. [40 CFR 60.447(b); Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

31. Annual Report Required: On or before April 1 of each calendar year, a completed DEP Form 62-210.900(5), Annual Operating Report (AOR) Form for Air Pollutant Emitting Facility, shall be submitted to SCNR. [Rules 62-210.370(3)(a)2. and (3)(c), F.A.C.]

32. Records Retention: Records of the measurements/ tests/ reports required by this permit must be retained for at least three years following the date of the measurement or reporting [40 CFR 60.445(h); Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.].

SARASOTA COUNTY NATURAL RESOURCES
AIR QUALITY/STORAGE TANK MANAGEMENT

Susan Cameron
Environmental Specialist III
Sarasota County Natural Resources
Air Quality/Storage Tank Management

John T. Hickey, P.E.
Manager
Sarasota County Natural Resources
Air Quality/Storage Tank Management

S11PC.026

DRAFT

Contents

Appendix A. Citation Formats and Glossary of Common Terms;

Appendix B. General Conditions;

Appendix C. Common Conditions;

Appendix D. Common Testing Requirements;

Appendix E. 40 CFR 60 Subpart RR NSPS for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations; and,

Appendix F. 40 CFR 60 Subpart A General Provisions.

DRAFT

CITATION FORMATS:

The following illustrate the formats used in the permit to identify applicable requirements from permits and regulations.

Permit Numbers

Example: Permit Nos. 1150001-001-AC, 1150001-002-AF, 1150001-002-AO, or 1150001-002-AV

Where:
- 115 represents Sarasota County, the county ID # in which the project is located;
- 0001 represents the specific facility ID number for that county;
- 001 identifies the specific permit project number;
- AC identifies the permit as an air construction permit;
- AF identifies the permit as a minor source federally enforceable state air operation permit;
- AO identifies the permit as a minor source air operation permit; and
- AV identifies the permit as a major Title V air operation permit.

Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)

Example: [Rule 62-213.205, F.A.C.]

Means: Title 62, Chapter 213, Rule 205 of the Florida Administrative Code

Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)

Example: [40 CFR 60.7]

Means: Title 40, Part 60, Section 7

GLOSSARY OF COMMON TERMS

° F: degrees Fahrenheit

acf: actual cubic feet

AQ/STM: Sarasota County Natural Resources, Air Quality/ Storage Tank Management

ARMS: Air Resources Management System (DEP database)

bhp: brake horsepower

Btu: British thermal units

CAM: compliance assurance monitoring

CEMS: continuous emissions monitoring system

cfm: cubic feet per minute

CFR: Code of Federal Regulations

BACT: best available control technology

CAA: Clean Air Act

CMS: continuous monitoring system

CO: carbon monoxide

CO_2: carbon dioxide

COMS: continuous opacity monitoring system

DARM: Division of Air Resource Management

AAQS: Ambient Air Quality Standard

acfm: actual cubic feet per minute

DEP: Department of Environmental Protection

Department: Department of Environmental Protection

dscf: dry standard cubic feet

dscfm: dry standard cubic feet per minute

EPA: Environmental Protection Agency

esp: electrostatic precipitator (control system for reducing particulate matter)

EU: emissions unit

F.A.C.: Florida Administrative Code

F.A.W.: Florida Administrative Weekly

f.d.: forced draft

F.S.: Florida Statutes

fgd: flue gas desulfurization

fgr: flue gas recirculation

Fl: fluoride

ft^2: square feet

ft^3: cubic feet

gpm: gallons per minute

gr: grains

HAP: hazardous air pollutant

Hg: mercury

i.d.: induced draft

ID: identification

kPa: kilopascals

lb: pound

MACT: maximum achievable technology

MMBtu: million British thermal units

MSDS: material safety data sheets

MW: megawatt

NESHAP: National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

NO_X: nitrogen oxides

NSPS: New Source Performance Standards

O & M: operation and maintenance

O_2: oxygen

Pb: lead

PM: particulate matter

PM_{10}: particulate matter with a mean aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less

ppm: parts per million

ppmv: parts per million by volume

ppmvd: parts per million by volume, dry basis

QA: quality assurance

QC: quality control

PSD: prevention of significant deterioration

psi: pounds per square inch

PTE: potential to emit

RACT: reasonably available control technology

RATA: relative accuracy test audit

RBLC: EPA's RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse

SAM: sulfuric acid mist

scf: standard cubic feet

scfm: standard cubic feet per minute

SCNR: Sarasota County Natural Resources

SIC: standard industrial classification code

SIP: State Implementation Plan

SNCR: selective non-catalytic reduction (control system used for reducing emissions of nitrogen oxides)

SO_2: sulfur dioxide

tpd: tons/day

tph: tons per hour

tpy: tons per year

TRS: total reduced sulfur

UTM: Universal Transverse Mercator coordinate system

VE: visible emissions

VOC: volatile organic compounds

DRAFT

General Conditions: The permittee shall comply with the following general conditions from Rule 62-4.160, F.A.C.

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth in this permit, are "permit conditions" and are binding and enforceable pursuant to Sections 403.141, 403.727, or 403.859 through 403.861, F.S. The permittee is placed on notice that the Department will review this permit periodically and may initiate enforcement action for any violation of these conditions.
2. This permit is valid only for the specific processes and operations applied for and indicated in the approved drawings or exhibits. Any unauthorized deviation from the approved drawings, exhibits, specifications, or conditions of this permit may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the Department.
3. As provided in subsections 403.987(6) and 403.722(5), F.S., the issuance of this permit does not convey any vested rights or any exclusive privileges. Neither does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations. This permit is not a waiver of or approval of any other department permit that may be required for other aspects of the total project which are not addressed in this permit.
4. This permit conveys no title to land or water, does not constitute State recognition or acknowledgment of title, and not constitute authority for the use of submerged lands unless herein provided and the necessary title or leasehold interests have been obtained from the State. Only the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund may express State opinion as to title.
5. This permit does not relieve the permittee from liability for harm or injury to human health or welfare, animal, or plant life, or property caused by the construction or operation of this permitted source, or from penalties therefore; nor does it allow the permittee to cause pollution in contravention of Florida Statutes and Department rules, unless specifically authorized by an order from the Department.
6. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain the facility and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed and used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit, as required by Department rules. This provision includes the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit and when required by Department rules.
7. The permittee, by accepting this permit, specifically agrees to allow authorized Department personnel, upon presentation of credentials or other documents as may be required by law and at reasonable times, access to the premises where the permitted activity is located or conducted to:
   a. Have access to and copy any records that must be kept under conditions of the permit;
   b. Inspect the facility, equipment, practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
   c. Sample or monitor any substances or parameters at any location reasonably necessary to assure compliance with this permit or Department rules. Reasonable time may depend on the nature of the concern being investigated.
8. If, for any reason, the permittee does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation specified in this permit, the permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information:
   a. A description of and cause of noncompliance; and
   b. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result and may be subject to enforcement action by the Department for penalties or for revocation of this permit.
9. In accepting this permit, the permittee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source which are submitted to the Department may be used by the Department as evidence in any enforcement case involving the permitted source arising under the Florida Statutes or Department rules, except where such use is prescribed by Sections 403.111 and 403.73, F.S. Such evidence shall only be used to the extent it is consistent with the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and appropriate evidentiary rules.

10. The permittee agrees to comply with changes in Department rules and Florida Statutes after a reasonable time for compliance; provided, however, the permittee does not waive any other rights granted by Florida Statutes or Department rules. A reasonable time for compliance with a new or amended surface water quality standard, other than those standards addressed in Rule 62-302.500, F.A.C., shall include a reasonable time to obtain or be denied a mixing zone for the new or amended standard.

11. This permit is transferable only upon Department approval in accordance with Rules 62-4.120 and 62-730.300, F.A.C., as applicable. The permittee shall be liable for any non-compliance of the permitted activity until the transfer is approved by the Department.

12. This permit or a copy thereof shall be kept at the work site of the permitted activity.

13. This permit also constitutes:

    a. Determination of Best Available Control Technology __;

    b. Determination of Prevention of Significant Deterioration __;

    c. Compliance with New Source Performance Standards _X_.

14. The permittee shall comply with the following:

    a. Upon request, the permittee shall furnish all records and plans required under Department rules. During enforcement actions, the retention period for all records will be extended automatically unless otherwise stipulated by the Department.

    b. The permittee shall hold at the facility or other location designated by this permit records of all monitoring information (including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation) required by the permit, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit. These materials shall be retained at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application unless otherwise specified by Department rule.

    c. Records of monitoring information shall include:

        (a) The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
        (b) The person responsible for performing the sampling or measurements;
        (c) The dates analyses were performed;
        (d) The person responsible for performing the analyses;
        (e) The analytical techniques or methods used;
        (f) The results of such analyses.

15. When requested by the Department, the permittee shall within a reasonable time furnish any information required by law which is needed to determine compliance with the permit. If the permittee becomes aware the relevant facts were not submitted or were incorrect in the permit application or in any report to the Department, such facts or information shall be corrected promptly.

[62-4.160, F.A.C., Permit Conditions]

COMMON CONDITIONS: Unless otherwise specified in the permit, the following conditions apply to all emissions units and activities at the facility.

Facility-wide *Emissions and Controls*:

1. Plant Operation - Problems: If the permittee is temporarily unable to comply with any of the conditions of the permit due to breakdown of equipment or destruction by fire, wind or other cause, the permittee shall notify each Compliance Authority as soon as possible, but at least within one working day, excluding weekends and holidays. The notification shall include: pertinent information as to the cause of the problem; steps being taken to correct the problem and prevent future recurrence; and, where applicable, the owner's intent toward reconstruction of destroyed facilities. Such notification does not release the permittee from any liability for failure to comply with the conditions of this permit or the regulations. [Rule 62-4.070(3) and 62-4.130, F.A.C.]

2. General Pollutant Emissions Limiting Standards: (a) No person shall store, pump, handle, process, load, unload or use in any process or installation, volatile organic compounds or organic solvents without applying known and existing vapor emission control devices or systems deemed necessary and ordered by SCNR. {Permitting note: No vapor control device was deemed necessary at the time of issuance of this permit.}; (b). No person shall cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor. An objectionable odor is any odor present in the outdoor atmosphere, which by itself or in combination with other odors, is or may be harmful or injurious to human health or welfare, which unreasonably interferes with the comfortable use and enjoyment of life or property, or which creates a nuisance. [Rules 62-210.200(214), and 62-296.320(1) and (2), F.A.C.]

3. General Visible Emissions Standard: Except for emission units that are subject to a particulate emission limit or opacity limit set forth in, or established by rule and reflected by conditions elsewhere in the permit, no person shall cause, let, permit, suffer, or allow to be discharged into the atmosphere the emissions of air pollutants from any activity, the opacity of which is equal to or greater than 20%. [Rules 62-4.070(3) and 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C.]

4. Unconfined Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM): Normal "good housekeeping" procedures and reasonable work practices shall be utilized to minimize unconfined particulate matter from any source including, ***but not limited to***, material handling operations, vehicular movement, construction, alteration, demolition or wrecking, loading, unloading, storing and handling. Reasonable precautions shall include:

    a. Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, and yards;

    b. Application of water, or chemical dust suppressants, to control emissions from activities such as demolition of buildings, grading of roads, construction, and land clearing;

    c. Application of water, or chemical dust suppressants, to facility grounds (e.g., unpaved roads, yards, open stock piles and similar emission units) as needed;

    d. Landscaping or planting of vegetation;

    e. Using applicable control equipment (e.g., hoods, fans, filters, baghouses, cyclones, conveyor enclosures, etc.);

    f. Posting vehicle/truck speed limits so that vehicles and material handling equipment operate at slow speed to minimize emissions from traveling/working on facility grounds; and

    g. Collecting, bagging and disposing of debris as needed;

    [Rules 62-4.070(3), 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.]

5. In order to ensure the visible emissions limitations are not exceeded and if the reasonable precautions identified in Specific Condition No. 4 are sufficient, visible emissions at the property line should not exceed 5% opacity. Should this opacity limit be exceeded, it will not be a violation in and of itself, but will indicate that additional control measures and/or practices beyond those outlined in Common Condition No. 4 may be necessary. [Rules 62-4.070(3), and 62-210.200(217) & (239), F.A.C.]

6. Anytime the emissions unit is found to be performing inadequately because of overloading, neglect, or other reasons, the permittee shall discontinue its use until measures are provided to correct the cause of such performance. [Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

7. Excess Emissions: The following excess emissions provisions cannot be used to vary any NSPS requirements from any subpart of 40 CFR 60:

   a. Excess emissions resulting from startup, shutdown or malfunction of any emissions unit shall be permitted providing best operational practices to minimize emissions are adhered to, and the duration of excess emissions shall be minimized, but in no case exceed two hours in any 24 hour period unless specifically authorized by SCNR for longer duration;

   b. Excess emissions which are caused entirely or in part by inadequate maintenance/ operation, or any other equipment or process failure which may reasonably be prevented during start-up, shutdown, or malfunction shall be prohibited.

   [Rules 62-210.700(1) and (4), F.A.C.]

8. Excess Emissions - Notification: In case of excess emissions resulting from malfunctions, the permittee shall notify the Compliance Authority in accordance with Rule 62-4.130, F.A.C. A full written report on the malfunctions shall be submitted in a quarterly report, if requested by the Department. [Rule 62-210.700(6), F.A.C.]

9. Source Obligation:

   a. At such time that a particular source or modification becomes a major stationary source or major modification (as these terms were defined at the time the source obtained the enforceable limitation) solely by virtue of a relaxation in any enforceable limitation which was established after August 7, 1980, on the capacity of the source or modification otherwise to emit a pollutant, such as a restriction on hours of operation, then the requirements of subsections 62-212.400(4) through (12), F.A.C., shall apply to the source or modification as though construction had not yet commenced on the source or modification.

   b. At such time that a particular source or modification becomes a major stationary source or major modification (as these terms were defined at the time the source obtained the enforceable limitation) solely by exceeding its projected actual emissions, then the requirements of subsections 62-212.400(4) through (12), F.A.C., shall apply to the source or modification as though construction had not yet commenced on the source or modification.

   [Rule 62-212.400(12)(b) and (c), F.A.C.]

10. Emissions Computation and Reporting:

   a. Applicability. This rule sets forth required methodologies to be used by the owner or operator of a facility for computing actual emissions, baseline actual emissions, and net emissions increase, as defined at Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C., and for computing emissions for purposes of the reporting requirements of subsection 62-210.370(3) and paragraph 62-212.300(1)(e), F.A.C., or of any permit condition that requires emissions be computed in accordance with this rule. This rule is not intended to establish methodologies for determining compliance with the emission limitations of any air permit. [Rule 62-210.370(1), F.A.C.]

   b. Computation of Emissions. For any of the purposes set forth in subsection 62-210.370(1), F.A.C., the owner or operator of a facility shall compute emissions in accordance with the requirements set forth in this subsection.

      (1) Basic Approach. The owner or operator shall employ, on a pollutant-specific basis, the most accurate of the approaches set forth below to compute the emissions of a pollutant from an emissions unit; provided, however, that nothing in this rule shall be construed to require installation and operation of any continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS), continuous parameter monitoring system (CPMS), or predictive emissions monitoring system (PEMS) not otherwise required by rule or permit, nor shall anything in this rule be construed to require performance of any stack testing not otherwise required by rule or permit.

         (a) If the emissions unit is equipped with a CEMS meeting the requirements of paragraph 62-210.370(2)(b), F.A.C., the owner or operator shall use such CEMS to compute the emissions of the pollutant, unless

the owner or operator demonstrates to the department that an alternative approach is more accurate because the CEMS represents still-emerging technology.

(b) If a CEMS is not available or does not meet the requirements of paragraph 62-210.370(2)(b), F.A.C, but emissions of the pollutant can be computed pursuant to the mass balance methodology of paragraph 62-210.370(2)(c), F.A.C., the owner or operator shall use such methodology, unless the owner or operator demonstrates to the department that an alternative approach is more accurate.

(c) If a CEMS is not available or does not meet the requirements of paragraph 62-210.370(2)(b), F.A.C., and emissions cannot be computed pursuant to the mass balance methodology, the owner or operator shall use an emission factor meeting the requirements of paragraph 62-210.370(2)(d), F.A.C., unless the owner or operator demonstrates to the department that an alternative approach is more accurate.

(2) Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS).

(a) An owner or operator may use a CEMS to compute emissions of a pollutant for purposes of this rule provided:

1) The CEMS complies with the applicable certification and quality assurance requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendices B and F, or, for an acid rain unit, the certification and quality assurance requirements of 40 CFR Part 75, all adopted by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; or

2) The owner or operator demonstrates that the CEMS otherwise represents the most accurate means of computing emissions for purposes of this rule.

(b) Stack gas volumetric flow rates used with the CEMS to compute emissions shall be obtained by the most accurate of the following methods as demonstrated by the owner or operator:

1) A calibrated flow meter that records data on a continuous basis, if available; or

2) The average flow rate of all valid stack tests conducted during a five-year period encompassing the period over which the emissions are being computed, provided all stack tests used shall represent the same operational and physical configuration of the unit.

(c) The owner or operator may use CEMS data in combination with an appropriate f-factor, heat input data, and any other necessary parameters to compute emissions if such method is demonstrated by the owner or operator to be more accurate than using a stack gas volumetric flow rate as set forth at subparagraph 62-210.370(2)(b)2., F.A.C., above.

(3) Mass Balance Calculations.

(a) An owner or operator may use mass balance calculations to compute emissions of a pollutant for purposes of this rule provided the owner or operator:

1) Demonstrates a means of validating the content of the pollutant that is contained in or created by all materials or fuels used in or at the emissions unit; and

2) Assumes that the emissions unit emits all of the pollutant that is contained in or created by any material or fuel used in or at the emissions unit if it cannot otherwise be accounted for in the process or in the capture and destruction of the pollutant by the unit's air pollution control equipment.

(b) Where the vendor of a raw material or fuel which is used in or at the emissions unit publishes a range of pollutant content from such material or fuel, the owner or operator shall use the highest value of the range to compute the emissions, unless the owner or operator demonstrates using site-specific data that another content within the range is more accurate.

(c) In the case of an emissions unit using coatings or solvents, the owner or operator shall document, through purchase receipts, records and sales receipts, the beginning and ending VOC inventories, the amount of VOC purchased during the computational period, and the amount of VOC disposed of in the liquid phase during such period.

(4) Emission Factors.

a. An owner or operator may use an emission factor to compute emissions of a pollutant for purposes of this rule provided the emission factor is based on site-specific data such as stack test data, where available, unless the owner or operator demonstrates to the department that an alternative emission factor is more accurate. An owner or operator using site-specific data to derive an emission factor, or set of factors, shall meet the following requirements.

1) If stack test data are used, the emission factor shall be based on the average emissions per unit of input, output, or gas volume, whichever is appropriate, of all valid stack tests conducted during at least a five-year period encompassing the period over which the emissions are being computed, provided all stack tests used shall represent the same operational and physical configuration of the unit.

2) Multiple emission factors shall be used as necessary to account for variations in emission rate associated with variations in the emissions unit's operating rate or operating conditions during the period over which emissions are computed.

3) The owner or operator shall compute emissions by multiplying the appropriate emission factor by the appropriate input, output or gas volume value for the period over which the emissions are computed. The owner or operator shall not compute emissions by converting an emission factor to pounds per hour and then multiplying by hours of operation, unless the owner or operator demonstrates that such computation is the most accurate method available.

b. If site-specific data are not available to derive an emission factor, the owner or operator may use a published emission factor directly applicable to the process for which emissions are computed. If no directly-applicable emission factor is available, the owner or operator may use a factor based on a similar, but different, process.

(5) Accounting for Emissions During Periods of Missing Data from CEMS, PEMS, or CPMS. In computing the emissions of a pollutant, the owner or operator shall account for the emissions during periods of missing data from CEMS, PEMS, or CPMS using other site-specific data to generate a reasonable estimate of such emissions.

(6) Accounting for Emissions During Periods of Startup and Shutdown. In computing the emissions of a pollutant, the owner or operator shall account for the emissions during periods of startup and shutdown of the emissions unit.

(7) Fugitive Emissions. In computing the emissions of a pollutant from a facility or emissions unit, the owner or operator shall account for the fugitive emissions of the pollutant, to the extent quantifiable, associated with such facility or emissions unit.

(8) Recordkeeping. The owner or operator shall retain a copy of all records used to compute emissions pursuant to this rule for a period of five years from the date on which such emissions information is submitted to the department for any regulatory purpose.

[Rules 62-4.070(3), and 62-210.370(2), F.A.C.]

***Facility-wide** Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements*:

11. Operation and Maintenance (O & M) Plan Documentation: The permittee shall maintain an O & M plan, and records documenting the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility; or any malfunction of the air pollution control equipment. The records shall be recorded in a permanent form suitable for inspection and shall be retained for at least 3 years. [Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

12. Records Retention: The permittee shall retain at the facility records of all monitoring information (including all calibration/maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation) required by the permit, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit. Records shall be recorded in a permanent form suitable for inspection by SCNR, DEP, and/or EPA, and shall be available upon request. These materials shall be retained on site for a minimum of the most recent three (3) consecutive year period from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application. [Rules 62-4.070(3) and 62-4.160(14)(b), F.A.C.]

Facility-wide *Compliance Testing Requirements*:

13. Test procedures shall meet all applicable requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subparts RR and A; 40 CFR 60 Appendix A; and Rule 62-297.310(4), F.A.C.

14. Visible Emissions (VE) Test Methods: Compliance with visible emissions limitations shall be determined using EPA Method 9, incorporated and adopted by reference in Rule 62-297.401(9)(a), F.A.C. The visible emissions test shall be conducted by a certified observer concurrently with the particulate matter performance test and be a minimum of 30 minutes in duration. The test observation period shall include the period during which the highest opacity emissions can reasonably be expected to occur. The minimum requirements for stationary point source emission test procedures and reporting shall be in accordance with Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. [Rules 62-4.070(3), 62-297.310(4)(a), and 62-297.401, F.A.C.]

15. VOC Test Methods: EPA Method 25, incorporated and adopted by reference in Rule 62-297, F.A.C., shall be used to determine VOC concentration, in parts per million by volume, of each effluent gas stream entering and exiting the solvent destruction device or its equivalent, and each effluent gas stream emitted directly to the atmosphere. Methods 1, 2, 3, and 4 shall be used to determine the sampling location, volumetric flowrate, molecular weight, and moisture of all sampled gas streams. For Method 25, the sampling time for each of three runs must be at least 1 hour. The minimum sampling volume must be 0.003 dscm. [40 CFR 60.446(b); Rules 62-4.070(3), 62-297, 62-297.310, and 62-297.401, F.A.C.]

16. Required Equipment: The owner or operator of an emissions unit for which a compliance test is required shall install, operate, and maintain equipment or instruments necessary to determine process variables, such as process weight input or heat input, when such data are needed in conjunction with emissions data to determine the compliance of the emissions unit with applicable emission limiting standards. Equipment or instruments used to directly or indirectly determine process variables, including devices such as belt scales, weigh hoppers, flow meters, and tank scales shall be calibrated and adjusted to indicate the true value of the parameter being measured with sufficient accuracy to allow the applicable process variable to be determined within 10% of its true value. [Rule 62-297.310(5), F.A.C.]

17. Special Compliance Tests: When SCNR, after investigation, has good reason (such as complaints, increased visible emissions or questionable maintenance of control equipment) to believe that any applicable emission standard contained in a Department rule or in a permit issued pursuant to those rules is being violated, it shall require the owner or operator of the emissions unit to conduct compliance tests which identify the nature and quantity of pollutant emissions from the emissions unit and to provide a report on the results of said tests to SCNR. [Rule 62-297.310(7)(b), F.A.C.]

Federal Regulations Adopted by Reference

In accordance with Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., the following federal regulation in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) was adopted by reference. The original federal rule numbering has been retained.

40 CFR Part 60 NSPS Subpart RR Standards of Performance for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations

§ 60.440 Applicability and designation of affected facility.

(a) The affected facility to which the provisions of this subpart apply is each coating line used in the manufacture of pressure sensitive tape and label materials.

(b) Any affected facility which inputs to the coating process 45 Mg (50 tons) of VOC or less per 12 month period is not subject to the emission limits of §60.442(a), however, the affected facility is subject to the requirements of all other applicable sections of this subpart. If the amount of VOC input exceeds 45 Mg (50 tons) per 12 month period, the coating line will become subject to §60.442(a) and all other sections of this subpart.

(c) This subpart applies to any affected facility which begins construction, modification, or reconstruction after December 30, 1980.

[48 FR 48375, Oct. 18, 1983, as amended at 65 FR 61761, Oct. 17, 2000]

§ 60.441 Definitions and symbols.

(a) Except as otherwise required by the context, terms used in this subpart are defined in the Act, in subpart A of this part, or in this section as follows:

Coating applicator means an apparatus used to apply a surface coating to a continuous web.

Coating line means any number or combination of adhesive, release, or precoat coating applicators, flashoff areas, and ovens which coat a continuous web, located between a web unwind station and a web rewind station, to produce pressure sensitive tape and label materials.

Coating solids applied means the solids content of the coated adhesive, release, or precoat as measured by Method 24.

Flashoff area means the portion of a coating line after the coating applicator and usually before the oven entrance.

Fugitive volatile organic compounds means any volatile organic compounds which are emitted from the coating applicator and flashoff areas and are not emitted in the oven.

Hood or enclosure means any device used to capture fugitive volatile organic compounds.

Oven means a chamber which uses heat or irradiation to bake, cure, polymerize, or dry a surface coating.

Precoat means a coating operation in which a coating other than an adhesive or release is applied to a surface during the production of a pressure sensitive tape or label product.

Solvent applied in the coating means all organic solvent contained in the adhesive, release, and precoat formulations that is metered into the coating applicator from the formulation area.

Total enclosure means a structure or building around the coating applicator and flashoff area or the entire coating line for the purpose of confining and totally capturing fugitive VOC emissions.

VOC means volatile organic compound.

(b) All symbols used in this subpart not defined below are given meaning in the Act or in subpart A of this part.

a=the gas stream vents exiting the emission control device.

b=the gas stream vents entering the emission control device.

C_{aj}=the concentration of VOC (carbon equivalent) in each gas stream (j) exiting the emission control device, in parts per million by volume.

C_{bi}=the concentration of VOC (carbon equivalent) in each gas stream (i) entering the emission control device, in parts per million by volume.

C_{fk}=the concentration of VOC (carbon equivalent) in each gas stream (k) emitted directly to the atmosphere, in parts per million by volume.

G=the calculated weighted average mass (kg) of VOC per mass (kg) of coating solids applied each calendar month.

M_{ci}=the total mass (kg) of each coating (i) applied during the calendar month as determined from facility records.

M_r=the total mass (kg) of solvent recovered for a calendar month.

Q_{aj}=the volumetric flow rate of each effluent gas stream (j) exiting the emission control device, in dry standard cubic meters per hour.

Q_{bi}=the volumetric flow rate of each effluent gas stream (i) entering the emission control device, in dry standard cubic meters per hour.

Q_{fk}=the volumetric flow rate of each effluent gas stream (k) emitted to the atmosphere, in dry standard cubic meters per hour.

R=the overall VOC emission reduction achieved for a calendar month (in percent).

R_q=the required overall VOC emission reduction (in percent).

W_{oi}=the weight fraction of organics applied of each coating (i) applied during a calendar month as determined from Method 24 or coating manufacturer's formulation data.

W_{si}=the weight fraction of solids applied of each coating (i) applied during a calendar month as determined from Method 24 or coating manufacturer's formulation data.

[48 FR 48375, Oct. 18, 1983, as amended at 65 FR 61761, Oct. 17, 2000]

§ 60.442 Standard for volatile organic compounds.

(a) On and after the date on which the performance test required by §60.8 has been completed each owner or operator subject to this subpart shall:

(1) Cause the discharge into the atmosphere from an affected facility not more than 0.20 kg VOC/kg of coating solids applied as calculated on a weighted average basis for one calendar month; or

(2) Demonstrate for each affected facility;

(i) A 90 percent overall VOC emission reduction as calculated over a calendar month; or

(ii) The percent overall VOC emission reduction specified in §60.443(b) as calculated over a calendar month.

§ 60.443 Compliance provisions.

(a) To determine compliance with §60.442 the owner or operator of the affected facility shall calculate a weighted average of the mass of solvent used per mass of coating solids applied for a one calendar month period according to the following procedures:

(1) Determine the weight fraction of organics and the weight fraction of solids of each coating applied by using Reference Method 24 or by the coating manufacturer's formulation data.

(2) Compute the weighted average by the following equation:

$$G = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{oi} M_{ci}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{si} M_{ci}}$$

(3) For each affected facility where the value of G is less than or equal to 0.20 kg VOC per kg of coating solids applied, the affected facility is in compliance with §60.442(a)(1).

(b) To determine compliance with §60.442(a)(2), the owner or operator shall calculate the required overall VOC emission reduction according to the following equation:

$$R_q = \frac{G - 0.20}{G} \times 100$$

If R_q is less than or equal to 90 percent, then the required overall VOC emission reduction is R_q. If R_q is greater than 90 percent, then the required overall VOC emission reduction is 90 percent.

(c) Where compliance with the emission limits specified in §60.442(a)(2) is achieved through the use of a solvent recovery system, the owner or operator shall determine the overall VOC emission reduction for a one calendar month period by the following equation:

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{M_r}{W_{oi} M_{ci}} \times 100$$

If the R value is equal to or greater than the $R_q$value specified in paragraph (b) of this section, then compliance with §60.442(a)(2) is demonstrated.

(d) Where compliance with the emission limit specified in §60.442(a)(2) is achieved through the use of a solvent destruction device, the owner or operator shall determine calendar monthly compliance by comparing the monthly required overall VOC emission reduction specified in paragraph (b) of this section to the overall VOC emission reduction demonstrated in the most recent performance test which complied with §60.442(a)(2). If the monthly required overall VOC emission reduction is less than or equal to the overall VOC reduction of the most recent performance test, the affected facility is in compliance with §60.442(a)(2).

(e) Where compliance with §60.442(a)(2) is achieved through the use of a solvent destruction device, the owner or operator shall continuously record the destruction device combustion temperature during coating operations for thermal incineration destruction devices or the gas temperature upstream and downstream of the incinerator catalyst bed during coating operations for catalytic incineration destruction devices. For thermal incineration destruction devices the owner or operator shall record all 3-hour periods (during actual coating operations) during which the average temperature of the device is more than 28 °C (50 °F) below the average temperature of the device during the most recent performance test complying with §60.442(a)(2). For catalytic incineration destruction devices, the owner or operator shall record all 3-hour periods (during actual coating operations) during which the average temperature of the device immediately before the catalyst bed is more than 28 °C (50 °F) below the average temperature of the device during the most recent performance test complying with §60.442(a)(2), and all 3-hour periods (during actual coating operations) during which the average temperature difference across the catalyst bed is less than 80 percent of the average temperature difference of the device during the most recent performance test complying with §60.442(a)(2).

(f) After the initial performance test required for all affected facilities under §60.8, compliance with the VOC emission limitation and percentage reduction requirements under §60.442 is based on the average emission reduction for one calendar month. A separate compliance test is completed at the end of each calendar month after the initial performance test, and a new calendar month's average VOC emission reduction is calculated to show compliance with the standard.

(g) If a common emission control device is used to recover or destroy solvent from more than one affected facility, the performance of that control device is assumed to be equal for each of the affected facilities. Compliance with §60.442(a)(2) is determined by the methods specified in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section and is performed simultaneously on all affected facilities.

(h) If a common emission control device is used to recover solvent from an existing facility (or facilities) as well as from an affected facility (or facilities), the overall VOC emission reduction for the affected facility (or facilities), for the purpose of compliance, shall be determined by the following procedures:

(1) The owner or operator of the existing facility (or facilities) shall determine the mass of solvent recovered for a calendar month period from the existing facility (or facilities) prior to the connection of the affected facility (or facilities) to the emission control device.

(2) The affected facility (or facilities) shall then be connected to the emission control device.

(3) The owner or operator shall determine the total mass of solvent recovered from both the existing and affected facilities over a calendar month period. The mass of solvent determined in paragraph (h)(1) of this section from the existing facility shall be subtracted from the total mass of recovered solvent to obtain the mass of solvent recovered from the affected facility (or facilities). The overall VOC emission reduction of the affected facility (or facilities) can then be determined as specified in paragraph (c) of this section.

(i) If a common emission control device(s) is used to destruct solvent from an existing facility (or facilities) as well as from an affected facility (or facilities), the overall VOC emission reduction for the affected facility (or facilities), for the purpose of compliance, shall be determined by the following procedures:

(1) The owner or operator shall operate the emission control device with both the existing and affected facilities connected.

(2) The concentration of VOC (in parts per million by volume) after the common emission control device shall be determined as specified in §60.444(c). This concentration is used in the calculation of compliance for both the existing and affected facilities.

(3) The volumetric flow out of the common control device attributable to the affected facility (or facilities) shall be calculated by first determining the ratio of the volumetric flow entering the common control device attributable to the affected facility (facilities) to the total volumetric flow entering the common control device from both existing and affected facilities. The multiplication of this ratio by the total volumetric flow out of the common control device yields the flow attributable to the affected facility (facilities). Compliance is determined by the use of the equation specified in §60.444(c).

(j) Startups and shutdowns are normal operation for this source category. Emissions from these operations are to be included when determining if the standard specified at §60.442(a)(2) is being attained.

[48 FR 48375, Oct. 18, 1983, as amended at 65 FR 61761, Oct. 17, 2000]

§ 60.444 Performance test procedures.

(a) The performance test for affected facilities complying with §60.442 without the use of add-on controls shall be identical to the procedures specified in §60.443(a).

(b) The performance test for affected facilities controlled by a solvent recovery device shall be conducted as follows:

(1) The performance test shall be a one calendar month test and not the average of three runs as specified in §60.8(f).

(2) The weighted average mass of VOC per mass of coating solids applied for a one calendar month period shall be determined as specified in §60.443(a) (1) and (2).

(3) Calculate the required percent overall VOC emission reduction as specified in §60.443(b).

(4) Inventory VOC usage and VOC recovery for a one calendar month period.

(5) Determine the percent overall VOC emission reduction as specified in §60.443(c).

(c) The performance test for affected facilities controlled by a solvent destruction device shall be conducted as follows:

(1) The performance of the solvent destruction device shall be determined by averaging the results of three test runs as specified in §60.8(f).

(2) Determine for each affected facility prior to each test run the weighted average mass of VOC per mass of coating solids applied being used at the facility. The weighted average shall be determined as specified in §60.443(a). In this application the quantities of W_{oi}, W_{si}, and M_{ci}shall be determined for the time period of each test run and not a calendar month as specified in §60.441.

(3) Calculate the required percent overall VOC emission reduction as specified in §60.443(b).

(4) Determine the percent overall VOC emission reduction of the solvent destruction device by the following equation and procedures:

$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{ai}C_{ai} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} Q_{aj}C_{aj}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{ai}C_{ai} + \sum_{k=1}^{p} Q_{ak}C_{ak}} \times 100$$

(i) The owner or operator of the affected facility shall construct the overall VOC emission reduction system so that all volumetric flow rates and total VOC emissions can be accurately determined by the applicable test methods and procedures specified in §60.446(b).

(ii) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall construct a temporary total enclosure around the coating line applicator and flashoff area during the performance test for the purpose of capturing fugitive VOC emissions. If a permanent total enclosure exists in the affected facility prior to the performance test and the Administrator is satisfied that the enclosure is totally capturing fugitive VOC emissions, then no additional total enclosure will be required for the performance test.

(iii) For each affected facility where the value of R is greater than or equal to the value of $R_q$calculated in §60.443(b), compliance with §60.442(a)(2) is demonstrated.

§ 60.445 Monitoring of operations and recordkeeping.

(a) The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to this subpart shall maintain a calendar month record of all coatings used and the results of the reference test method specified in §60.446(a) or the manufacturer's formulation data used for determining the VOC content of those coatings.

(b) The owner or operator of an affected facility controlled by a solvent recovery device shall maintain a calendar month record of the amount of solvent applied in the coating at each affected facility.

(c) The owner or operator of an affected facility controlled by a solvent recovery device shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a monitoring device for indicating the cumulative amount of solvent recovered by the device over a calendar month period. The monitoring device shall be accurate within ±2.0 percent. The owner or operator shall maintain a calendar month record of the amount of solvent recovered by the device.

(d) The owner or operator of an affected facility operating at the conditions specified in §60.440(b) shall maintain a 12 month record of the amount of solvent applied in the coating at the facility.

(e) The owner or operator of an affected facility controlled by a thermal incineration solvent destruction device shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a monitoring device which continuously indicates and records the temperature of the solvent destruction device's exhaust gases. The monitoring device shall have an accuracy of the greater of ±0.75 percent of the temperature being measured expressed in degrees Celsius or ±2.5 °C.

(f) The owner or operator of an affected facility controlled by a catalytic incineration solvent destruction device shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a monitoring device which continuously indicates and records the gas temperature both upstream and downstream of the catalyst bed.

(g) The owner or operator of an affected facility controlled by a solvent destruction device which uses a hood or enclosure to capture fugitive VOC emissions shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a monitoring device which continuously indicates that the hood or enclosure is operating. No continuous monitor shall be required if the owner or operator can demonstrate that the hood or enclosure system is interlocked with the affected facility's oven recirculation air system.

(h) Records of the measurements required in §§60.443 and 60.445 must be retained for at least two years following the date of the measurements.

§ 60.446 Test methods and procedures.

(a) The VOC content per unit of coating solids applied and compliance with §60.422(a)(1) shall be determined by either Method 24 and the equations specified in §60.443 or by manufacturers' formulation data. In the event of any inconsistency between a Method 24 test and manufacturers' formulation data, the Method 24 test will govern. The Administrator may require an owner or operator to perform Method 24 tests during such months as he deems appropriate. For Method 24, the coating sample must be a one liter sample taken into a one liter container at a point where the sample will be representative of the coating applied to the web substrate.

(b) Method 25 shall be used to determine the VOC concentration, in parts per million by volume, of each effluent gas stream entering and exiting the solvent destruction device or its equivalent, and each effluent gas stream emitted directly to the atmosphere. Methods 1, 2, 3, and 4 shall be used to determine the sampling location, volumetric flow rate, molecular weight, and moisture of all sampled gas streams. For Method 25, the sampling time for each of three runs must be at least 1 hour. The minimum sampling volume must be 0.003 dscm except that shorter sampling times or smaller volumes, when necessitated by process variables or other factors, may be approved by the Administrator.

(c) If the owner or operator can demonstrate to the Administrator's satisfaction that testing of representative stacks yields results comparable to those that would be obtained by testing all stacks, the Administrator will approve testing of representative stacks on a case-by-case basis.

[48 FR 48375, Oct. 18, 1983, as amended at 65 FR 61761, Oct. 17, 2000]

§ 60.447 Reporting requirements.

(a) For all affected facilities subject to compliance with §60.442, the performance test data and results from the performance test shall be submitted to the Administrator as specified in §60.8(a) of the General Provisions (40 CFR part 60, subpart A).

(b) Following the initial performance test, the owner or operator of each affected facility shall submit quarterly reports to the Administrator of exceedances of the VOC emission limits specified in §60.442. If no such exceedances occur during a particular quarter, a report stating this shall be submitted to the Administrator semiannually.

(c) The owner or operator of each affected facility shall also submit reports at the frequency specified in §60.7(c) when the incinerator temperature drops as defined under §60.443(e). If no such periods occur, the owner or operator shall state this in the report.

(d) The requirements of this subsection remain in force until and unless EPA, in delegating enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Act, approves reporting requirements or an alternative means of compliance surveillance adopted by such States. In that event, affected sources within the State will be relieved of the obligation to comply with this subsection, provided that they comply with the requirements established by the State.

Federal Regulations Adopted by Reference

In accordance with Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., the following federal regulation in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) was adopted by reference. The original federal rule numbering has been retained.

40 CFR 60 Subpart A General Provisions

§ 60.1 Applicability

(a) Except as provided in subparts B and C, the provisions of this part apply to the owner or operator of any stationary source which contains an affected facility, the construction or modification of which is commenced after the date of publication in this part of any standard (or, if earlier, the date of publication of any proposed standard) applicable to that facility.

(b) Any new or revised standard of performance promulgated pursuant to section 111(b) of the Act shall apply to the owner or operator of any stationary source which contains an affected facility, the construction or modification of which is commenced after the date of publication in this part of such new or revised standard (or, if earlier, the date of publication of any proposed standard) applicable to that facility.

(c) In addition to complying with the provisions of this part, the owner or operator of an affected facility may be required to obtain an operating permit issued to stationary sources by an authorized State air pollution control agency or by the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to Title V of the Clean Air Act (Act) as amended November 15, 1990 (42 U.S.C. 7661). For more information about obtaining an operating permit see part 70 of this chapter.

[40 FR 53346, Nov. 17, 1975, as amended at 55 FR 51382, Dec. 13, 1990; 59 FR 12427, Mar. 16, 1994; 62 FR 52641, Oct. 8, 1997]

§ 60.2 Definitions.

The terms used in this part are defined in the Act or in this section as follows:

Act means the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*)

Administrator means the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency or his authorized representative.

Affected facility means, with reference to a stationary source, any apparatus to which a standard is applicable.

Alternative method means any method of sampling and analyzing for an air pollutant which is not a reference or equivalent method but which has been demonstrated to the Administrator's satisfaction to, in specific cases, produce results adequate for his determination of compliance.

Approved permit program means a State permit program approved by the Administrator as meeting the requirements of part 70 of this chapter or a Federal permit program established in this chapter pursuant to Title V of the Act (42 U.S.C. 7661).

Capital expenditure means an expenditure for a physical or operational change to an existing facility which exceeds the product of the applicable "annual asset guideline repair allowance percentage" specified in the latest edition of Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Publication 534 and the existing facility's basis, as defined by section 1012 of the Internal Revenue Code. However, the total expenditure for a physical or operational change to an existing facility must not be reduced by any "excluded additions" as defined in IRS Publication 534, as would be done for tax purposes.

Clean coal technology demonstration project means a project using funds appropriated under the heading 'Department of Energy-Clean Coal Technology', up to a total amount of $2,500,000,000 for commercial demonstrations of clean coal technology, or similar projects funded through appropriations for the Environmental Protection Agency.

Commenced means, with respect to the definition of *new source* in section 111(a)(2) of the Act, that an owner or operator has undertaken a continuous program of construction or modification or that an owner or operator has entered into a contractual obligation to undertake and complete, within a reasonable time, a continuous program of construction or modification.

Construction means fabrication, erection, or installation of an affected facility.

Continuous monitoring system means the total equipment, required under the emission monitoring sections in applicable subparts, used to sample and condition (if applicable), to analyze, and to provide a permanent record of emissions or process parameters.

Electric utility steam generating unit means any steam electric generating unit that is constructed for the purpose of supplying more than one-third of its potential electric output capacity and more than 25 MW electrical output to any utility power distribution system for sale. Any steam supplied to a steam distribution system for the purpose of providing steam to a steam-electric generator that would produce electrical energy for sale is also considered in determining the electrical energy output capacity of the affected facility.

Equivalent method means any method of sampling and analyzing for an air pollutant which has been demonstrated to the Administrator's satisfaction to have a consistent and quantitatively known relationship to the reference method, under specified conditions.

Excess Emissions and Monitoring Systems Performance Report is a report that must be submitted periodically by a source in order to provide data on its compliance with stated emission limits and operating parameters, and on the performance of its monitoring systems.

Existing facility means, with reference to a stationary source, any apparatus of the type for which a standard is promulgated in this part, and the construction or modification of which was commenced before the date of proposal of that standard; or any apparatus which could be altered in such a way as to be of that type.

Force majeure means, for purposes of §60.8, an event that will be or has been caused by circumstances beyond the control of the affected facility, its contractors, or any entity controlled by the affected facility that prevents the owner or operator from complying with the regulatory requirement to conduct performance tests within the specified timeframe despite the affected facility's best efforts to fulfill the obligation. Examples of such events are acts of nature, acts of war or terrorism, or equipment failure or safety hazard beyond the control of the affected facility.

Isokinetic sampling means sampling in which the linear velocity of the gas entering the sampling nozzle is equal to that of the undisturbed gas stream at the sample point.

Issuance of a part 70 permit will occur, if the State is the permitting authority, in accordance with the requirements of part 70 of this chapter and the applicable, approved State permit program. When the EPA is the permitting authority, issuance of a Title V permit occurs immediately after the EPA takes final action on the final permit.

Malfunction means any sudden, infrequent, and not reasonably preventable failure of air pollution control equipment, process equipment, or a process to operate in a normal or usual manner. Failures that are caused in part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions.

Modification means any physical change in, or change in the method of operation of, an existing facility which increases the amount of any air pollutant (to which a standard applies) emitted into the atmosphere by that facility or which results in the emission of any air pollutant (to which a standard applies) into the atmosphere not previously emitted.

Monitoring device means the total equipment, required under the monitoring of operations sections in applicable subparts, used to measure and record (if applicable) process parameters.

Nitrogen oxides means all oxides of nitrogen except nitrous oxide, as measured by test methods set forth in this part.

One-hour period means any 60-minute period commencing on the hour.

Opacity means the degree to which emissions reduce the transmission of light and obscure the view of an object in the background.

Owner or operator means any person who owns, leases, operates, controls, or supervises an affected facility or a stationary source of which an affected facility is a part.

Part 70 permit means any permit issued, renewed, or revised pursuant to part 70 of this chapter.

Particulate matter means any finely divided solid or liquid material, other than uncombined water, as measured by the reference methods specified under each applicable subpart, or an equivalent or alternative method.

Permit program means a comprehensive State operating permit system established pursuant to title V of the Act (42 U.S.C. 7661) and regulations codified in part 70 of this chapter and applicable State regulations, or a comprehensive Federal operating permit system established pursuant to title V of the Act and regulations codified in this chapter.

Permitting authority means:

(1) The State air pollution control agency, local agency, other State agency, or other agency authorized by the Administrator to carry out a permit program under part 70 of this chapter; or

(2) The Administrator, in the case of EPA-implemented permit programs under title V of the Act (42 U.S.C. 7661).

Proportional sampling means sampling at a rate that produces a constant ratio of sampling rate to stack gas flow rate.

Reactivation of a very clean coal-fired electric utility steam generating unit means any physical change or change in the method of operation associated with the commencement of commercial operations by a coal-fired utility unit after a period of discontinued operation where the unit:

(1) Has not been in operation for the two-year period prior to the enactment of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, and the emissions from such unit continue to be carried in the permitting authority's emissions inventory at the time of enactment;

(2) Was equipped prior to shut-down with a continuous system of emissions control that achieves a removal efficiency for sulfur dioxide of no less than 85 percent and a removal efficiency for particulates of no less than 98 percent;

(3) Is equipped with low-NO_X burners prior to the time of commencement of operations following reactivation; and

(4) Is otherwise in compliance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act.

Reference method means any method of sampling and analyzing for an air pollutant as specified in the applicable subpart.

Repowering means replacement of an existing coal-fired boiler with one of the following clean coal technologies: atmospheric or pressurized fluidized bed combustion, integrated gasification combined cycle, magnetohydrodynamics, direct and indirect coal-fired turbines, integrated gasification fuel cells, or as determined by the Administrator, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, a derivative of one or more of these technologies, and any other technology capable of controlling multiple combustion emissions simultaneously with improved boiler or generation efficiency and with significantly greater waste reduction relative to the performance of technology in widespread commercial use as of November 15, 1990. Repowering shall also include any oil and/or gas-fired unit which has been awarded clean coal technology demonstration funding as of January 1, 1991, by the Department of Energy.

Run means the net period of time during which an emission sample is collected. Unless otherwise specified, a run may be either intermittent or continuous within the limits of good engineering practice.

Shutdown means the cessation of operation of an affected facility for any purpose.

Six-minute period means any one of the 10 equal parts of a one-hour period.

Standard means a standard of performance proposed or promulgated under this part.

Standard conditions means a temperature of 293 K (68F) and a pressure of 101.3 kilopascals (29.92 in Hg).

Startup means the setting in operation of an affected facility for any purpose.

State means all non-Federal authorities, including local agencies, interstate associations, and State-wide programs, that have delegated authority to implement: (1) The provisions of this part; and/or (2) the permit program established under part 70 of this chapter. The term State shall have its conventional meaning where clear from the context.

Stationary source means any building, structure, facility, or installation which emits or may emit any air pollutant.

Title V permit means any permit issued, renewed, or revised pursuant to Federal or State regulations established to implement title V of the Act (42 U.S.C. 7661). A title V permit issued by a State permitting authority is called a part 70 permit in this part.

Volatile Organic Compound means any organic compound which participates in atmospheric photochemical reactions; or which is measured by a reference method, an equivalent method, an alternative method, or which is determined by procedures specified under any subpart.

[44 FR 55173, Sept. 25, 1979, as amended at 45 FR 5617, Jan. 23, 1980; 45 FR 85415, Dec. 24, 1980; 54 FR 6662, Feb. 14, 1989; 55 FR 51382, Dec. 13, 1990; 57 FR 32338, July 21, 1992; 59 FR 12427, Mar. 16, 1994; 72 FR 27442, May 16, 2007]

§ 60.3 Units and abbreviations.

Used in this part are abbreviations and symbols of units of measure. These are defined as follows:

(a) System International (SI) units of measure:

A—ampere

g—gram

Hz—hertz

J—joule

K—degree Kelvin

kg—kilogram

m—meter

m^3 —cubic meter

mg—milligram—10^{-3}gram

mm—millimeter—10^{-3}meter

Mg—megagram—10^6 gram

mol—mole

N—newton

ng—nanogram—10^{-9}gram

nm—nanometer—10^{-9}meter

Pa—pascal

s—second

V—volt

W—watt

Ω—ohm

μg—microgram—10^{-6}gram

(b) Other units of measure:

Btu—British thermal unit

°C—degree Celsius (centigrade)

cal—calorie

DRAFT

cfm—cubic feet per minute

cu ft—cubic feet

dcf—dry cubic feet

dcm—dry cubic meter

dscf—dry cubic feet at standard conditions

dscm—dry cubic meter at standard conditions

eq—equivalent

°F—degree Fahrenheit

ft—feet

gal—gallon

gr—grain

g-eq—gram equivalent

hr—hour

in—inch

k—1,000

l—liter

lpm—liter per minute

lb—pound

meq—milliequivalent

min—minute

ml—milliliter

mol. wt.—molecular weight

ppb—parts per billion

ppm—parts per million

DRAFT

psia—pounds per square inch absolute

psig—pounds per square inch gage

°R—degree Rankine

scf—cubic feet at standard conditions

scfh—cubic feet per hour at standard conditions

scm—cubic meter at standard conditions

sec—second

sq ft—square feet

std—at standard conditions

(c) Chemical nomenclature:

CdS—cadmium sulfide

CO—carbon monoxide

CO_2—carbon dioxide

HCl—hydrochloric acid

Hg—mercury

H_2O—water

H_2S—hydrogen sulfide

H_2SO_4—sulfuric acid

N_2—nitrogen

NO—nitric oxide

NO_2—nitrogen dioxide

NO_X—nitrogen oxides

O_2—oxygen

SO_2—sulfur dioxide

DRAFT

SO_3—sulfur trioxide

SO_X—sulfur oxides

(d) Miscellaneous:

A.S.T.M.—American Society for Testing and Materials

[42 FR 37000, July 19, 1977; 42 FR 38178, July 27, 1977]

§ 60.4 Address.

(a) All requests, reports, applications, submittals, and other communications to the Administrator pursuant to this part shall be submitted in duplicate to the appropriate Regional Office of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to the attention of the Director of the Division indicated in the following list of EPA Regional Offices.

Region IV (Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee), Director, Air and Waste Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, GA 30365.

(b) Section 111(c) directs the Administrator to delegate to each State, when appropriate, the authority to implement and enforce standards of performance for new stationary sources located in such State. All information required to be submitted to EPA under paragraph (a) of this section, must also be submitted to the appropriate State Agency of any State to which this authority has been delegated (provided, that each specific delegation may except sources from a certain Federal or State reporting requirement). The appropriate mailing address for those States whose delegation request has been approved is as follows:

(K) State of Florida: Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Air Resources Management, 2600 Blair Stone Road, MS 5500, Tallahassee, Florida 32399–2400.

§ 60.5 Determination of construction or modification.

(a) When requested to do so by an owner or operator, the Administrator will make a determination of whether action taken or intended to be taken by such owner or operator constitutes construction (including reconstruction) or modification or the commencement thereof within the meaning of this part.

(b) The Administrator will respond to any request for a determination under paragraph (a) of this section within 30 days of receipt of such request.

§ 60.6 Review of plans.

(a) When requested to do so by an owner or operator, the Administrator will review plans for construction or modification for the purpose of providing technical advice to the owner or operator.

(b)(1) A separate request shall be submitted for each construction or modification project.

(2) Each request shall identify the location of such project, and be accompanied by technical information describing the proposed nature, size, design, and method of operation of each affected facility involved in such project, including information on any equipment to be used for measurement or control of emissions.

(c) Neither a request for plans review nor advice furnished by the Administrator in response to such request shall (1) relieve an owner or operator of legal responsibility for compliance with any provision of this part or of any applicable State or local requirement, or (2) prevent the Administrator from implementing or enforcing any provision of this part or taking any other action authorized by the Act.

§ 60.7 Notification and record keeping.

(a) Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall furnish the Administrator written notification or, if acceptable to both the Administrator and the owner or operator of a source, electronic notification, as follows:

(1) A notification of the date construction (or reconstruction as defined under §60.15) of an affected facility is commenced postmarked no later than 30 days after such date. This requirement shall not apply in the case of mass-produced facilities which are purchased in completed form.

(3) A notification of the actual date of initial startup of an affected facility postmarked within 15 days after such date.

(4) A notification of any physical or operational change to an existing facility which may increase the emission rate of any air pollutant to which a standard applies, unless that change is specifically exempted under an applicable subpart or in §60.14(e). This notice shall be postmarked 60 days or as soon as practicable before the change is commenced and shall include information describing the precise nature of the change, present and proposed emission control systems, productive capacity of the facility before and after the change, and the expected completion date of the change. The Administrator may request additional relevant information subsequent to this notice.

(5) A notification of the date upon which demonstration of the continuous monitoring system performance commences in accordance with §60.13(c). Notification shall be postmarked not less than 30 days prior to such date.

(6) A notification of the anticipated date for conducting the opacity observations required by §60.11(e)(1) of this part. The notification shall also include, if appropriate, a request for the Administrator to provide a visible emissions reader during a performance test. The notification shall be postmarked not less than 30 days prior to such date.

(7) A notification that continuous opacity monitoring system data results will be used to determine compliance with the applicable opacity standard during a performance test required by §60.8 in lieu of Method 9 observation data as allowed by §60.11(e)(5) of this part. This notification shall be postmarked not less than 30 days prior to the date of the performance test.

(b) Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility; any malfunction of the air pollution control equipment; or any periods during which a continuous monitoring system or monitoring device is inoperative.

(c) Each owner or operator required to install a continuous monitoring device shall submit excess emissions and monitoring systems performance report (excess emissions are defined in applicable subparts) and-or summary report form (see paragraph (d) of this section) to the Administrator semiannually, except when: more frequent reporting is specifically required by an applicable subpart; or the Administrator, on a case-by-case basis, determines that more frequent reporting is necessary to accurately assess the compliance status of the source. All reports shall be postmarked by the 30th day following the end of each six-month period. Written reports of excess emissions shall include the following information:

(1) The magnitude of excess emissions computed in accordance with §60.13(h), any conversion factor(s) used, and the date and time of commencement and completion of each time period of excess emissions. The process

operating time during the reporting period.

(2) Specific identification of each period of excess emissions that occurs during startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions of the affected facility. The nature and cause of any malfunction (if known), the corrective action taken or preventative measures adopted.

(3) The date and time identifying each period during which the continuous monitoring system was inoperative except for zero and span checks and the nature of the system repairs or adjustments.

(4) When no excess emissions have occurred or the continuous monitoring system(s) have not been inoperative, repaired, or adjusted, such information shall be stated in the report.

(d) The summary report form shall contain the information and be in the format shown in figure 1 unless otherwise specified by the Administrator. One summary report form shall be submitted for each pollutant monitored at each affected facility.

(1) If the total duration of excess emissions for the reporting period is less than 1 percent of the total operating time for the reporting period and CMS downtime for the reporting period is less than 5 percent of the total operating time for the reporting period, only the summary report form shall be submitted and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) need not be submitted unless requested by the Administrator.

(2) If the total duration of excess emissions for the reporting period is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time for the reporting period or the total CMS downtime for the reporting period is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time for the reporting period, the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall both be submitted.

Figure 1—Summary Report—Gaseous and Opacity Excess Emission and Monitoring System Performance

Pollutant (Circle One—SO_2/NO_X/TRS/H_2S/CO/Opacity)

Reporting period dates: From _____ to _____

Company:

Emission Limitation_____

Address:

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No._____
Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit_____

Process Unit(s) Description:

Total source operating time in reporting period[1] _____

Emission data summary[1]		CMS performance summary[1]	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:		1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	
a. Startup/shutdown		a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	

b. Control equipment problems		b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	
c. Process problems		c. Quality assurance calibration	
d. Other known causes		d. Other known causes	
e. Unknown causes		e. Unknown causes	
2. Total duration of excess emission		2. Total CMS Downtime	
3. Total duration of excess emissions × (100) [Total source operating time]	%[2]	3. [Total CMS Downtime] × (100) [Total source operating time]	%[2]

[1]For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

[2]For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

On a separate page, describe any changes since last quarter in CMS, process or controls. I certify that the information contained in this report is true, accurate, and complete.

_____

Name

_____

Signature

_____

Title

_____

Date

(e)(1) Notwithstanding the frequency of reporting requirements specified in paragraph (c) of this section, an owner or operator who is required by an applicable subpart to submit excess emissions and monitoring systems performance reports (and summary reports) on a quarterly (or more frequent) basis may reduce the frequency of reporting for that standard to semiannual if the following conditions are met:

(i) For 1 full year (e.g., 4 quarterly or 12 monthly reporting periods) the affected facility's excess emissions and monitoring systems reports submitted to comply with a standard under this part continually demonstrate that the facility is in compliance with the applicable standard;

(ii) The owner or operator continues to comply with all recordkeeping and monitoring requirements specified in this subpart and the applicable standard; and

DRAFT

(iii) The Administrator does not object to a reduced frequency of reporting for the affected facility, as provided in paragraph (e)(2) of this section.

(2) The frequency of reporting of excess emissions and monitoring systems performance (and summary) reports may be reduced only after the owner or operator notifies the Administrator in writing of his or her intention to make such a change and the Administrator does not object to the intended change. In deciding whether to approve a reduced frequency of reporting, the Administrator may review information concerning the source's entire previous performance history during the required recordkeeping period prior to the intended change, including performance test results, monitoring data, and evaluations of an owner or operator's conformance with operation and maintenance requirements. Such information may be used by the Administrator to make a judgment about the source's potential for noncompliance in the future. If the Administrator disapproves the owner or operator's request to reduce the frequency of reporting, the Administrator will notify the owner or operator in writing within 45 days after receiving notice of the owner or operator's intention. The notification from the Administrator to the owner or operator will specify the grounds on which the disapproval is based. In the absence of a notice of disapproval within 45 days, approval is automatically granted.

(3) As soon as monitoring data indicate that the affected facility is not in compliance with any emission limitation or operating parameter specified in the applicable standard, the frequency of reporting shall revert to the frequency specified in the applicable standard, and the owner or operator shall submit an excess emissions and monitoring systems performance report (and summary report, if required) at the next appropriate reporting period following the noncomplying event. After demonstrating compliance with the applicable standard for another full year, the owner or operator may again request approval from the Administrator to reduce the frequency of reporting for that standard as provided for in paragraphs (e)(1) and (e)(2) of this section.

(f) Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file of all measurements, including continuous monitoring system, monitoring device, and performance testing measurements; all continuous monitoring system performance evaluations; all continuous monitoring system or monitoring device calibration checks; adjustments and maintenance performed on these systems or devices; and all other information required by this part recorded in a permanent form suitable for inspection. The file shall be retained for at least two years following the date of such measurements, maintenance, reports, and records, except as follows:

(1) This paragraph applies to owners or operators required to install a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) where the CEMS installed is automated, and where the calculated data averages do not exclude periods of CEMS breakdown or malfunction. An automated CEMS records and reduces the measured data to the form of the pollutant emission standard through the use of a computerized data acquisition system. In lieu of maintaining a file of all CEMS subhourly measurements as required under paragraph (f) of this section, the owner or operator shall retain the most recent consecutive three averaging periods of subhourly measurements and a file that contains a hard copy of the data acquisition system algorithm used to reduce the measured data into the reportable form of the standard.

(2) This paragraph applies to owners or operators required to install a CEMS where the measured data is manually reduced to obtain the reportable form of the standard, and where the calculated data averages do not exclude periods of CEMS breakdown or malfunction. In lieu of maintaining a file of all CEMS subhourly measurements as required under paragraph (f) of this section, the owner or operator shall retain all subhourly measurements for the most recent reporting period. The subhourly measurements shall be retained for 120 days from the date of the most recent summary or excess emission report submitted to the Administrator.

(3) The Administrator or delegated authority, upon notification to the source, may require the owner or operator to maintain all measurements as required by paragraph (f) of this section, if the Administrator or the delegated authority determines these records are required to more accurately assess the compliance status of the affected source.

(g) If notification substantially similar to that in paragraph (a) of this section is required by any other State or local

agency, sending the Administrator a copy of that notification will satisfy the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section.

(h) Individual subparts of this part may include specific provisions which clarify or make inapplicable the provisions set forth in this section.

§ 60.8 Performance tests.

(a) Except as specified in paragraphs (a)(1),(a)(2), (a)(3), and (a)(4) of this section, within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the affected facility will be operated, but not later than 180 days after initial startup of such facility, or at such other times specified by this part, and at such other times as may be required by the Administrator under section 114 of the Act, the owner or operator of such facility shall conduct performance test(s) and furnish the Administrator a written report of the results of such performance test(s).

(1) If a force majeure is about to occur, occurs, or has occurred for which the affected owner or operator intends to assert a claim of force majeure, the owner or operator shall notify the Administrator, in writing as soon as practicable following the date the owner or operator first knew, or through due diligence should have known that the event may cause or caused a delay in testing beyond the regulatory deadline, but the notification must occur before the performance test deadline unless the initial force majeure or a subsequent force majeure event delays the notice, and in such cases, the notification shall occur as soon as practicable.

(2) The owner or operator shall provide to the Administrator a written description of the force majeure event and a rationale for attributing the delay in testing beyond the regulatory deadline to the force majeure; describe the measures taken or to be taken to minimize the delay; and identify a date by which the owner or operator proposes to conduct the performance test. The performance test shall be conducted as soon as practicable after the force majeure occurs.

(3) The decision as to whether or not to grant an extension to the performance test deadline is solely within the discretion of the Administrator. The Administrator will notify the owner or operator in writing of approval or disapproval of the request for an extension as soon as practicable.

(4) Until an extension of the performance test deadline has been approved by the Administrator under paragraphs (a)(1), (2), and (3) of this section, the owner or operator of the affected facility remains strictly subject to the requirements of this part.

(b) Performance tests shall be conducted and data reduced in accordance with the test methods and procedures contained in each applicable subpart unless the Administrator (1) specifies or approves, in specific cases, the use of a reference method with minor changes in methodology, (2) approves the use of an equivalent method, (3) approves the use of an alternative method the results of which he has determined to be adequate for indicating whether a specific source is in compliance, (4) waives the requirement for performance tests because the owner or operator of a source has demonstrated by other means to the Administrator's satisfaction that the affected facility is in compliance with the standard, or (5) approves shorter sampling times and smaller sample volumes when necessitated by process variables or other factors. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to abrogate the Administrator's authority to require testing under section 114 of the Act.

(c) Performance tests shall be conducted under such conditions as the Administrator shall specify to the plant operator based on representative performance of the affected facility. The owner or operator shall make available to the Administrator such records as may be necessary to determine the conditions of the performance tests. Operations during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction shall not constitute representative conditions for the purpose of a performance test nor shall emissions in excess of the level of the applicable emission limit during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction be considered a violation of the applicable emission limit unless

otherwise specified in the applicable standard.

(d) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall provide the Administrator at least 30 days prior notice of any performance test, except as specified under other subparts, to afford the Administrator the opportunity to have an observer present. If after 30 days notice for an initially scheduled performance test, there is a delay (due to operational problems, etc.) in conducting the scheduled performance test, the owner or operator of an affected facility shall notify the Administrator (or delegated State or local agency) as soon as possible of any delay in the original test date, either by providing at least 7 days prior notice of the rescheduled date of the performance test, or by arranging a rescheduled date with the Administrator (or delegated State or local agency) by mutual agreement.

(e) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall provide, or cause to be provided, performance testing facilities as follows:

(1) Sampling ports adequate for test methods applicable to such facility. This includes (i) constructing the air pollution control system such that volumetric flow rates and pollutant emission rates can be accurately determined by applicable test methods and procedures and (ii) providing a stack or duct free of cyclonic flow during performance tests, as demonstrated by applicable test methods and procedures.

(2) Safe sampling platform(s).

(3) Safe access to sampling platform(s).

(4) Utilities for sampling and testing equipment.

(f) Unless otherwise specified in the applicable subpart, each performance test shall consist of three separate runs using the applicable test method. Each run shall be conducted for the time and under the conditions specified in the applicable standard. For the purpose of determining compliance with an applicable standard, the arithmetic means of results of the three runs shall apply. In the event that a sample is accidentally lost or conditions occur in which one of the three runs must be discontinued because of forced shutdown, failure of an irreplaceable portion of the sample train, extreme meteorological conditions, or other circumstances, beyond the owner or operator's control, compliance may, upon the Administrator's approval, be determined using the arithmetic mean of the results of the two other runs.

(g) The performance testing shall include a test method performance audit (PA) during the performance test. The PAs consist of blind audit samples supplied by an accredited audit sample provider and analyzed during the performance test in order to provide a measure of test data bias. Gaseous audit samples are designed to audit the performance of the sampling system as well as the analytical system and must be collected by the sampling system during the compliance test just as the compliance samples are collected. If a liquid or solid audit sample is designed to audit the sampling system, it must also be collected by the sampling system during the compliance test. If multiple sampling systems or sampling trains are used during the compliance test for any of the test methods, the tester is only required to use one of the sampling systems per method to collect the audit sample. The audit sample must be analyzed by the same analyst using the same analytical reagents and analytical system and at the same time as the compliance samples. Retests are required when there is a failure to produce acceptable results for an audit sample. However, if the audit results do not affect the compliance or noncompliance status of the affected facility, the compliance authority may waive the reanalysis requirement, further audits, or retests and accept the results of the compliance test. Acceptance of the test results shall constitute a waiver of the reanalysis requirement, further audits, or retests. The compliance authority may also use the audit sample failure and the compliance test results as evidence to determine the compliance or noncompliance status of the affected facility. A blind audit sample is a sample whose value is known only to the sample provider and is not revealed to the tested facility until after they report the measured value of the audit sample. For pollutants that exist in the gas phase at ambient temperature, the audit sample shall consist of an appropriate concentration of the pollutant in air or nitrogen that can be introduced into the sampling system of the test method at or near the same entry point as a sample from the emission source. If

no gas phase audit samples are available, an acceptable alternative is a sample of the pollutant in the same matrix that would be produced when the sample is recovered from the sampling system as required by the test method. For samples that exist only in a liquid or solid form at ambient temperature, the audit sample shall consist of an appropriate concentration of the pollutant in the same matrix that would be produced when the sample is recovered from the sampling system as required by the test method. An accredited audit sample provider (AASP) is an organization that has been accredited to prepare audit samples by an independent, third party accrediting body.

(1) The source owner, operator, or representative of the tested facility shall obtain an audit sample, if commercially available, from an AASP for each test method used for regulatory compliance purposes. No audit samples are required for the following test methods: Methods 3C of Appendix A–3 of Part 60, Methods 6C, 7E, 9, and 10 of Appendix A–4 of Part 60, Method 18 of Appendix A–6 of Part 60, Methods 20, 22, and 25A of Appendix A–7 of Part 60, and Methods 303, 318, 320, and 321 of Appendix A of Part 63. If multiple sources at a single facility are tested during a compliance test event, only one audit sample is required for each method used during a compliance test. The compliance authority responsible for the compliance test may waive the requirement to include an audit sample if they believe that an audit sample is not necessary. "Commercially available" means that two or more independent AASPs have blind audit samples available for purchase. If the source owner, operator, or representative cannot find an audit sample for a specific method, the owner, operator, or representative shall consult the EPA Web site at the following URL, *http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc,* to confirm whether there is a source that can supply an audit sample for that method. If the EPA Web site does not list an available audit sample at least 60 days prior to the beginning of the compliance test, the source owner, operator, or representative shall not be required to include an audit sample as part of the quality assurance program for the compliance test. When ordering an audit sample, the source, operator, or representative shall give the sample provider an estimate for the concentration of each pollutant that is emitted by the source or the estimated concentration of each pollutant based on the permitted level and the name, address, and phone number of the compliance authority. The source owner, operator, or representative shall report the results for the audit sample along with a summary of the emission test results for the audited pollutant to the compliance authority and shall report the results of the audit sample to the AASP. The source owner, operator, or representative shall make both reports at the same time and in the same manner or shall report to the compliance authority first and then report to the AASP. If the method being audited is a method that allows the samples to be analyzed in the field and the tester plans to analyze the samples in the field, the tester may analyze the audit samples prior to collecting the emission samples provided a representative of the compliance authority is present at the testing site. The tester may request and the compliance authority may grant a waiver to the requirement that a representative of the compliance authority must be present at the testing site during the field analysis of an audit sample. The source owner, operator, or representative may report the results of the audit sample to the compliance authority and report the results of the audit sample to the AASP prior to collecting any emission samples. The test protocol and final test report shall document whether an audit sample was ordered and utilized and the pass/fail results as applicable.

(2) An AASP shall have and shall prepare, analyze, and report the true value of audit samples in accordance with a written technical criteria document that describes how audit samples will be prepared and distributed in a manner that will ensure the integrity of the audit sample program. An acceptable technical criteria document shall contain standard operating procedures for all of the following operations:

(i) Preparing the sample;

(ii) Confirming the true concentration of the sample;

(iii) Defining the acceptance limits for the results from a well qualified tester. This procedure must use well established statistical methods to analyze historical results from well qualified testers. The acceptance limits shall be set so that there is 95 percent confidence that 90 percent of well qualified labs will produce future results that are within the acceptance limit range.

(iv) Providing the opportunity for the compliance authority to comment on the selected concentration level for an

audit sample;

(v) Distributing the sample to the user in a manner that guarantees that the true value of the sample is unknown to the user;

(vi) Recording the measured concentration reported by the user and determining if the measured value is within acceptable limits;

(vii) The AASP shall report the results from each audit sample in a timely manner to the compliance authority and then to the source owner, operator, or representative. The AASP shall make both reports at the same time and in the same manner or shall report to the compliance authority first and then report to the source owner, operator, or representative. The results shall include the name of the facility tested, the date on which the compliance test was conducted, the name of the company performing the sample collection, the name of the company that analyzed the compliance samples including the audit sample, the measured result for the audit sample, and whether the testing company passed or failed the audit. The AASP shall report the true value of the audit sample to the compliance authority. The AASP may report the true value to the source owner, operator, or representative if the AASP's operating plan ensures that no laboratory will receive the same audit sample twice.

(viii) Evaluating the acceptance limits of samples at least once every two years to determine in cooperation with the voluntary consensus standard body if they should be changed;

(ix) Maintaining a database, accessible to the compliance authorities, of results from the audit that shall include the name of the facility tested, the date on which the compliance test was conducted, the name of the company performing the sample collection, the name of the company that analyzed the compliance samples including the audit sample, the measured result for the audit sample, the true value of the audit sample, the acceptance range for the measured value, and whether the testing company passed or failed the audit.

(3) The accrediting body shall have a written technical criteria document that describes how it will ensure that the AASP is operating in accordance with the AASP technical criteria document that describes how audit samples are to be prepared and distributed. This document shall contain standard operating procedures for all of the following operations:

(i) Checking audit samples to confirm their true value as reported by the AASP;

(ii) Performing technical systems audits of the AASP's facilities and operating procedures at least once every two years;

(iii) Providing standards for use by the voluntary consensus standard body to approve the accrediting body that will accredit the audit sample providers.

(4) The technical criteria documents for the accredited sample providers and the accrediting body shall be developed through a public process guided by a voluntary consensus standards body (VCSB). The VCSB shall operate in accordance with the procedures and requirements in the Office of Management and Budget Circular A–119. A copy of Circular A–119 is available upon request by writing the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, by calling (202) 395–6880 or downloading online at *http://standards.gov/standards_gov/a119.cfm.* The VCSB shall approve all accrediting bodies. The Administrator will review all technical criteria documents. If the technical criteria documents do not meet the minimum technical requirements in paragraphs (g)(2) through (4)of this section, the technical criteria documents are not acceptable and the proposed audit sample program is not capable of producing audit samples of sufficient quality to be used in a compliance test. All acceptable technical criteria documents shall be posted on the EPA Web site at the

following URL, *http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc.*

§ 60.9 Availability of information.

The availability to the public of information provided to, or otherwise obtained by, the Administrator under this part shall be governed by part 2 of this chapter. (Information submitted voluntarily to the Administrator for the purposes of §§60.5 and 60.6 is governed by §§2.201 through 2.213 of this chapter and not by §2.301 of this chapter.)

§ 60.10 State authority.

The provisions of this part shall not be construed in any manner to preclude any State or political subdivision thereof from:

(a) Adopting and enforcing any emission standard or limitation applicable to an affected facility, provided that such emission standard or limitation is not less stringent than the standard applicable to such facility.

(b) Requiring the owner or operator of an affected facility to obtain permits, licenses, or approvals prior to initiating construction, modification, or operation of such facility.

§ 60.11 Compliance with standards and maintenance requirements.

(a) Compliance with standards in this part, other than opacity standards, shall be determined in accordance with performance tests established by §60.8, unless otherwise specified in the applicable standard.

(b) Compliance with opacity standards in this part shall be determined by conducting observations in accordance with Method 9 in appendix A of this part, any alternative method that is approved by the Administrator, or as provided in paragraph (e)(5) of this section. For purposes of determining initial compliance, the minimum total time of observations shall be 3 hours (30 6-minute averages) for the performance test or other set of observations (meaning those fugitive-type emission sources subject only to an opacity standard).

(c) The opacity standards set forth in this part shall apply at all times except during periods of startup, shutdown, malfunction, and as otherwise provided in the applicable standard.

(d) At all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, owners and operators shall, to the extent practicable, maintain and operate any affected facility including associated air pollution control equipment in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practice for minimizing emissions. Determination of whether acceptable operating and maintenance procedures are being used will be based on information available to the Administrator which may include, but is not limited to, monitoring results, opacity observations, review of operating and maintenance procedures, and inspection of the source.

(e)(1) For the purpose of demonstrating initial compliance, opacity observations shall be conducted concurrently with the initial performance test required in §60.8 unless one of the following conditions apply. If no performance test under §60.8 is required, then opacity observations shall be conducted within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the affected facility will be operated but no later than 180 days after initial startup of the facility. If visibility or other conditions prevent the opacity observations from being conducted concurrently with the initial performance test required under §60.8, the source owner or operator shall reschedule the opacity observations as soon after the initial performance test as possible, but not later than 30 days thereafter, and shall advise the Administrator of the rescheduled date. In these cases, the 30-day prior notification to the Administrator required in §60.7(a)(6) shall be waived. The rescheduled opacity observations shall be conducted (to the extent possible) under the same operating conditions that existed during the initial performance test conducted under §60.8. The visible

emissions observer shall determine whether visibility or other conditions prevent the opacity observations from being made concurrently with the initial performance test in accordance with procedures contained in Method 9 of appendix B of this part. Opacity readings of portions of plumes which contain condensed, uncombined water vapor shall not be used for purposes of determining compliance with opacity standards. The owner or operator of an affected facility shall make available, upon request by the Administrator, such records as may be necessary to determine the conditions under which the visual observations were made and shall provide evidence indicating proof of current visible observer emission certification. Except as provided in paragraph (e)(5) of this section, the results of continuous monitoring by transmissometer which indicate that the opacity at the time visual observations were made was not in excess of the standard are probative but not conclusive evidence of the actual opacity of an emission, provided that the source shall meet the burden of proving that the instrument used meets (at the time of the alleged violation) Performance Specification 1 in appendix B of this part, has been properly maintained and (at the time of the alleged violation) that the resulting data have not been altered in any way.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (e)(3) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility to which an opacity standard in this part applies shall conduct opacity observations in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section, shall record the opacity of emissions, and shall report to the Administrator the opacity results along with the results of the initial performance test required under §60.8. The inability of an owner or operator to secure a visible emissions observer shall not be considered a reason for not conducting the opacity observations concurrent with the initial performance test.

(3) The owner or operator of an affected facility to which an opacity standard in this part applies may request the Administrator to determine and to record the opacity of emissions from the affected facility during the initial performance test and at such times as may be required. The owner or operator of the affected facility shall report the opacity results. Any request to the Administrator to determine and to record the opacity of emissions from an affected facility shall be included in the notification required in §60.7(a)(6). If, for some reason, the Administrator cannot determine and record the opacity of emissions from the affected facility during the performance test, then the provisions of paragraph (e)(1) of this section shall apply.

(4) An owner or operator of an affected facility using a continuous opacity monitor (transmissometer) shall record the monitoring data produced during the initial performance test required by §60.8 and shall furnish the Administrator a written report of the monitoring results along with Method 9 and §60.8 performance test results.

(5) An owner or operator of an affected facility subject to an opacity standard may submit, for compliance purposes, continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS) data results produced during any performance test required under §60.8 in lieu of Method 9 observation data. If an owner or operator elects to submit COMS data for compliance with the opacity standard, he shall notify the Administrator of that decision, in writing, at least 30 days before any performance test required under §60.8 is conducted. Once the owner or operator of an affected facility has notified the Administrator to that effect, the COMS data results will be used to determine opacity compliance during subsequent tests required under §60.8 until the owner or operator notifies the Administrator, in writing, to the contrary. For the purpose of determining compliance with the opacity standard during a performance test required under §60.8 using COMS data, the minimum total time of COMS data collection shall be averages of all 6-minute continuous periods within the duration of the mass emission performance test. Results of the COMS opacity determinations shall be submitted along with the results of the performance test required under §60.8. The owner or operator of an affected facility using a COMS for compliance purposes is responsible for demonstrating that the COMS meets the requirements specified in §60.13(c) of this part, that the COMS has been properly maintained and operated, and that the resulting data have not been altered in any way. If COMS data results are submitted for compliance with the opacity standard for a period of time during which Method 9 data indicates noncompliance, the Method 9 data will be used to determine compliance with the opacity standard.

(6) Upon receipt from an owner or operator of the written reports of the results of the performance tests required by §60.8, the opacity observation results and observer certification required by §60.11(e)(1), and the COMS results, if

applicable, the Administrator will make a finding concerning compliance with opacity and other applicable standards. If COMS data results are used to comply with an opacity standard, only those results are required to be submitted along with the performance test results required by §60.8. If the Administrator finds that an affected facility is in compliance with all applicable standards for which performance tests are conducted in accordance with §60.8 of this part but during the time such performance tests are being conducted fails to meet any applicable opacity standard, he shall notify the owner or operator and advise him that he may petition the Administrator within 10 days of receipt of notification to make appropriate adjustment to the opacity standard for the affected facility.

(7) The Administrator will grant such a petition upon a demonstration by the owner or operator that the affected facility and associated air pollution control equipment was operated and maintained in a manner to minimize the opacity of emissions during the performance tests; that the performance tests were performed under the conditions established by the Administrator; and that the affected facility and associated air pollution control equipment were incapable of being adjusted or operated to meet the applicable opacity standard.

(8) The Administrator will establish an opacity standard for the affected facility meeting the above requirements at a level at which the source will be able, as indicated by the performance and opacity tests, to meet the opacity standard at all times during which the source is meeting the mass or concentration emission standard. The Administrator will promulgate the new opacity standard in the Federal Register.

(f) Special provisions set forth under an applicable subpart shall supersede any conflicting provisions in paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section.

(g) For the purpose of submitting compliance certifications or establishing whether or not a person has violated or is in violation of any standard in this part, nothing in this part shall preclude the use, including the exclusive use, of any credible evidence or information, relevant to whether a source would have been in compliance with applicable requirements if the appropriate performance or compliance test or procedure had been performed.

§ 60.12 Circumvention.

No owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall build, erect, install, or use any article, machine, equipment or process, the use of which conceals an emission which would otherwise constitute a violation of an applicable standard. Such concealment includes, but is not limited to, the use of gaseous diluents to achieve compliance with an opacity standard or with a standard which is based on the concentration of a pollutant in the gases discharged to the atmosphere.

§ 60.13 Monitoring requirements.

(a) For the purposes of this section, all continuous monitoring systems required under applicable subparts shall be subject to the provisions of this section upon promulgation of performance specifications for continuous monitoring systems under appendix B to this part and, if the continuous monitoring system is used to demonstrate compliance with emission limits on a continuous basis, appendix F to this part, unless otherwise specified in an applicable subpart or by the Administrator. Appendix F is applicable December 4, 1987.

(b) All continuous monitoring systems and monitoring devices shall be installed and operational prior to conducting performance tests under §60.8. Verification of operational status shall, as a minimum, include completion of the manufacturer's written requirements or recommendations for installation, operation, and calibration of the device.

(c) If the owner or operator of an affected facility elects to submit continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS) data for compliance with the opacity standard as provided under §60.11(e)(5), he shall conduct a performance evaluation of the COMS as specified in Performance Specification 1, appendix B, of this part before the performance test

required under §60.8 is conducted. Otherwise, the owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct a performance evaluation of the COMS or continuous emission monitoring system (CEMS) during any performance test required under §60.8 or within 30 days thereafter in accordance with the applicable performance specification in appendix B of this part, The owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct COMS or CEMS performance evaluations at such other times as may be required by the Administrator under section 114 of the Act.

(1) The owner or operator of an affected facility using a COMS to determine opacity compliance during any performance test required under §60.8 and as described in §60.11(e)(5) shall furnish the Administrator two or, upon request, more copies of a written report of the results of the COMS performance evaluation described in paragraph (c) of this section at least 10 days before the performance test required under §60.8 is conducted.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility shall furnish the Administrator within 60 days of completion two or, upon request, more copies of a written report of the results of the performance evaluation.

(d)(1) Owners and operators of a CEMS installed in accordance with the provisions of this part, must check the zero (or low level value between 0 and 20 percent of span value) and span (50 to 100 percent of span value) calibration drifts at least once daily in accordance with a written procedure. The zero and span must, as a minimum, be adjusted whenever either the 24-hour zero drift or the 24-hour span drift exceeds two times the limit of the applicable performance specification in appendix B of this part. The system must allow the amount of the excess zero and span drift to be recorded and quantified whenever specified. Owners and operators of a COMS installed in accordance with the provisions of this part, must automatically, intrinsic to the opacity monitor, check the zero and upscale (span) calibration drifts at least once daily. For a particular COMS, the acceptable range of zero and upscale calibration materials is as defined in the applicable version of PS–1 in appendix B of this part. For a COMS, the optical surfaces, exposed to the effluent gases, must be cleaned before performing the zero and upscale drift adjustments, except for systems using automatic zero adjustments. The optical surfaces must be cleaned when the cumulative automatic zero compensation exceeds 4 percent opacity.

(2) Unless otherwise approved by the Administrator, the following procedures must be followed for a COMS. Minimum procedures must include an automated method for producing a simulated zero opacity condition and an upscale opacity condition using a certified neutral density filter or other related technique to produce a known obstruction of the light beam. Such procedures must provide a system check of all active analyzer internal optics with power or curvature, all active electronic circuitry including the light source and photo detector assembly, and electronic or electro-mechanical systems and hardware and or software used during normal measurement operation.

(e) Except for system breakdowns, repairs, calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments required under paragraph (d) of this section, all continuous monitoring systems shall be in continuous operation and shall meet minimum frequency of operation requirements as follows:

(1) All continuous monitoring systems referenced by paragraph (c) of this section for measuring opacity of emissions shall complete a minimum of one cycle of sampling and analyzing for each successive 10-second period and one cycle of data recording for each successive 6-minute period.

(2) All continuous monitoring systems referenced by paragraph (c) of this section for measuring emissions, except opacity, shall complete a minimum of one cycle of operation (sampling, analyzing, and data recording) for each successive 15-minute period.

(f) All continuous monitoring systems or monitoring devices shall be installed such that representative measurements of emissions or process parameters from the affected facility are obtained. Additional procedures for location of continuous monitoring systems contained in the applicable Performance Specifications of appendix B of this part shall be used.

(g) When the effluents from a single affected facility or two or more affected facilities subject to the same emission standards are combined before being released to the atmosphere, the owner or operator may install applicable continuous monitoring systems on each effluent or on the combined effluent. When the affected facilities are not subject to the same emission standards, separate continuous monitoring systems shall be installed on each effluent. When the effluent from one affected facility is released to the atmosphere through more than one point, the owner or operator shall install an applicable continuous monitoring system on each separate effluent unless the installation of fewer systems is approved by the Administrator. When more than one continuous monitoring system is used to measure the emissions from one affected facility (e.g., multiple breechings, multiple outlets), the owner or operator shall report the results as required from each continuous monitoring system.

(h)(1) Owners or operators of all continuous monitoring systems for measurement of opacity shall reduce all data to 6-minute averages and for continuous monitoring systems other than opacity to 1-hour averages for time periods as defined in §60.2. Six-minute opacity averages shall be calculated from 36 or more data points equally spaced over each 6-minute period.

(2) For continuous monitoring systems other than opacity, 1-hour averages shall be computed as follows, except that the provisions pertaining to the validation of partial operating hours are only applicable for affected facilities that are required by the applicable subpart to include partial hours in the emission calculations:

(i) Except as provided under paragraph (h)(2)(iii) of this section, for a full operating hour (any clock hour with 60 minutes of unit operation), at least four valid data points are required to calculate the hourly average, *i.e.* , one data point in each of the 15-minute quadrants of the hour.

(ii) Except as provided under paragraph (h)(2)(iii) of this section, for a partial operating hour (any clock hour with less than 60 minutes of unit operation), at least one valid data point in each 15-minute quadrant of the hour in which the unit operates is required to calculate the hourly average.

(iii) For any operating hour in which required maintenance or quality-assurance activities are performed:

(A) If the unit operates in two or more quadrants of the hour, a minimum of two valid data points, separated by at least 15 minutes, is required to calculate the hourly average; or

(B) If the unit operates in only one quadrant of the hour, at least one valid data point is required to calculate the hourly average.

(iv) If a daily calibration error check is failed during any operating hour, all data for that hour shall be invalidated, unless a subsequent calibration error test is passed in the same hour and the requirements of paragraph (h)(2)(iii) of this section are met, based solely on valid data recorded after the successful calibration.

(v) For each full or partial operating hour, all valid data points shall be used to calculate the hourly average.

(vi) Except as provided under paragraph (h)(2)(vii) of this section, data recorded during periods of continuous monitoring system breakdown, repair, calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments shall not be included in the data averages computed under this paragraph.

(vii) Owners and operators complying with the requirements of §60.7(f)(1) or (2) must include any data recorded during periods of monitor breakdown or malfunction in the data averages.

(viii) When specified in an applicable subpart, hourly averages for certain partial operating hours shall not be computed or included in the emission averages (*e.g.* hours with < 30 minutes of unit operation under §60.47b(d)).

(ix) Either arithmetic or integrated averaging of all data may be used to calculate the hourly averages. The data may be recorded in reduced or no reduced form (*e.g.* , ppm pollutant and percent O_2or ng/J of pollutant).

(3) All excess emissions shall be converted into units of the standard using the applicable conversion procedures specified in the applicable subpart. After conversion into units of the standard, the data may be rounded to the same number of significant digits used in the applicable subpart to specify the emission limit.

(i) After receipt and consideration of written application, the Administrator may approve alternatives to any monitoring procedures or requirements of this part including, but not limited to the following:

(1) Alternative monitoring requirements when installation of a continuous monitoring system or monitoring device specified by this part would not provide accurate measurements due to liquid water or other interferences caused by substances in the effluent gases.

(2) Alternative monitoring requirements when the affected facility is infrequently operated.

(3) Alternative monitoring requirements to accommodate continuous monitoring systems that require additional measurements to correct for stack moisture conditions.

(4) Alternative locations for installing continuous monitoring systems or monitoring devices when the owner or operator can demonstrate that installation at alternate locations will enable accurate and representative measurements.

(5) Alternative methods of converting pollutant concentration measurements to units of the standards.

(6) Alternative procedures for performing daily checks of zero and span drift that do not involve use of span gases or test cells.

(7) Alternatives to the A.S.T.M. test methods or sampling procedures specified by any subpart.

(8) Alternative continuous monitoring systems that do not meet the design or performance requirements in Performance Specification 1, appendix B, but adequately demonstrate a definite and consistent relationship between its measurements and the measurements of opacity by a system complying with the requirements in Performance Specification 1. The Administrator may require that such demonstration be performed for each affected facility.

(9) Alternative monitoring requirements when the effluent from a single affected facility or the combined effluent from two or more affected facilities is released to the atmosphere through more than one point.

(j) An alternative to the relative accuracy (RA) test specified in Performance Specification 2 of appendix B may be requested as follows:

(1) An alternative to the reference method tests for determining RA is available for sources with emission rates demonstrated to be less than 50 percent of the applicable standard. A source owner or operator may petition the Administrator to waive the RA test in Section 8.4 of Performance Specification 2 and substitute the procedures in Section 16.0 if the results of a performance test conducted according to the requirements in §60.8 of this subpart or other tests performed following the criteria in §60.8 demonstrate that the emission rate of the pollutant of interest in the units of the applicable standard is less than 50 percent of the applicable standard. For sources subject to standards expressed as control efficiency levels, a source owner or operator may petition the Administrator to waive the RA test and substitute the procedures in Section 16.0 of Performance Specification 2 if the control device exhaust emission rate is less than 50 percent of the level needed to meet the control efficiency requirement. The

alternative procedures do not apply if the continuous emission monitoring system is used to determine compliance continuously with the applicable standard. The petition to waive the RA test shall include a detailed description of the procedures to be applied. Included shall be location and procedure for conducting the alternative, the concentration or response levels of the alternative RA materials, and the other equipment checks included in the alternative procedure. The Administrator will review the petition for completeness and applicability. The determination to grant a waiver will depend on the intended use of the CEMS data (e.g., data collection purposes other than NSPS) and may require specifications more stringent than in Performance Specification 2 (e.g., the applicable emission limit is more stringent than NSPS).

(2) The waiver of a CEMS RA test will be reviewed and may be rescinded at such time, following successful completion of the alternative RA procedure, that the CEMS data indicate that the source emissions are approaching the level. The criterion for reviewing the waiver is the collection of CEMS data showing that emissions have exceeded 70 percent of the applicable standard for seven, consecutive, averaging periods as specified by the applicable regulation(s). For sources subject to standards expressed as control efficiency levels, the criterion for reviewing the waiver is the collection of CEMS data showing that exhaust emissions have exceeded 70 percent of the level needed to meet the control efficiency requirement for seven, consecutive, averaging periods as specified by the applicable regulation(s) [e.g., §60.45(g) (2) and (3), §60.73(e), and §60.84(e)]. It is the responsibility of the source operator to maintain records and determine the level of emissions relative to the criterion on the waiver of RA testing. If this criterion is exceeded, the owner or operator must notify the Administrator within 10 days of such occurrence and include a description of the nature and cause of the increasing emissions. The Administrator will review the notification and may rescind the waiver and require the owner or operator to conduct a RA test of the CEMS as specified in Section 8.4 of Performance Specification 2.

§ 60.14 Modification.

(a) Except as provided under paragraphs (e) and (f) of this section, any physical or operational change to an existing facility which results in an increase in the emission rate to the atmosphere of any pollutant to which a standard applies shall be considered a modification within the meaning of section 111 of the Act. Upon modification, an existing facility shall become an affected facility for each pollutant to which a standard applies and for which there is an increase in the emission rate to the atmosphere.

(b) Emission rate shall be expressed as kg/hr of any pollutant discharged into the atmosphere for which a standard is applicable. The Administrator shall use the following to determine emission rate:

(1) Emission factors as specified in the latest issue of "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors," EPA Publication No. AP–42, or other emission factors determined by the Administrator to be superior to AP–42 emission factors, in cases where utilization of emission factors demonstrates that the emission level resulting from the physical or operational change will either clearly increase or clearly not increase.

(2) Material balances, continuous monitor data, or manual emission tests in cases where utilization of emission factors as referenced in paragraph (b)(1) of this section does not demonstrate to the Administrator's satisfaction whether the emission level resulting from the physical or operational change will either clearly increase or clearly not increase, or where an owner or operator demonstrates to the Administrator's satisfaction that there are reasonable grounds to dispute the result obtained by the Administrator utilizing emission factors as referenced in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. When the emission rate is based on results from manual emission tests or continuous monitoring systems, the procedures specified in appendix C of this part shall be used to determine whether an increase in emission rate has occurred. Tests shall be conducted under such conditions as the Administrator shall specify to the owner or operator based on representative performance of the facility. At least three valid test runs must be conducted before and at least three after the physical or operational change. All operating parameters which may affect emissions must be held constant to the maximum feasible degree for all test runs.

(c) The addition of an affected facility to a stationary source as an expansion to that source or as a replacement for an existing facility shall not by itself bring within the applicability of this part any other facility within that source.

(e) The following shall not, by themselves, be considered modifications under this part:

(1) Maintenance, repair, and replacement which the Administrator determines to be routine for a source category, subject to the provisions of paragraph (c) of this section and §60.15.

(2) An increase in production rate of an existing facility, if that increase can be accomplished without a capital expenditure on that facility.

(3) An increase in the hours of operation.

(4) Use of an alternative fuel or raw material if, prior to the date any standard under this part becomes applicable to that source type, as provided by §60.1, the existing facility was designed to accommodate that alternative use. A facility shall be considered to be designed to accommodate an alternative fuel or raw material if that use could be accomplished under the facility's construction specifications as amended prior to the change. Conversion to coal required for energy considerations, as specified in section 111(a)(8) of the Act, shall not be considered a modification.

(5) The addition or use of any system or device whose primary function is the reduction of air pollutants, except when an emission control system is removed or is replaced by a system which the Administrator determines to be less environmentally beneficial.

(6) The relocation or change in ownership of an existing facility.

(f) Special provisions set forth under an applicable subpart of this part shall supersede any conflicting provisions of this section.

(g) Within 180 days of the completion of any physical or operational change subject to the control measures specified in paragraph (a) of this section, compliance with all applicable standards must be achieved.

(h) No physical change, or change in the method of operation, at an existing electric utility steam generating unit shall be treated as a modification for the purposes of this section provided that such change does not increase the maximum hourly emissions of any pollutant regulated under this section above the maximum hourly emissions achievable at that unit during the 5 years prior to the change.

(i) Repowering projects that are awarded funding from the Department of Energy as permanent clean coal technology demonstration projects (or similar projects funded by EPA) are exempt from the requirements of this section provided that such change does not increase the maximum hourly emissions of any pollutant regulated under this section above the maximum hourly emissions achievable at that unit during the five years prior to the change.

(j)(1) Repowering projects that qualify for an extension under section 409(b) of the Clean Air Act are exempt from the requirements of this section, provided that such change does not increase the actual hourly emissions of any pollutant regulated under this section above the actual hourly emissions achievable at that unit during the 5 years prior to the change.

(2) This exemption shall not apply to any new unit that:

(i) Is designated as a replacement for an existing unit;

(ii) Qualifies under section 409(b) of the Clean Air Act for an extension of an emission limitation compliance date under section 405 of the Clean Air Act; and

(iii) Is located at a different site than the existing unit.

(k) The installation, operation, cessation, or removal of a temporary clean coal technology demonstration project is exempt from the requirements of this section. A *temporary clean coal control technology demonstration project,* for the purposes of this section is a clean coal technology demonstration project that is operated for a period of 5 years or less, and which complies with the State implementation plan for the State in which the project is located and other requirements necessary to attain and maintain the national ambient air quality standards during the project and after it is terminated.

(l) The reactivation of a very clean coal-fired electric utility steam generating unit is exempt from the requirements of this section.

§ 60.15 Reconstruction.

(a) An existing facility, upon reconstruction, becomes an affected facility, irrespective of any change in emission rate.

(b) "Reconstruction" means the replacement of components of an existing facility to such an extent that:

(1) The fixed capital cost of the new components exceeds 50 percent of the fixed capital cost that would be required to construct a comparable entirely new facility, and

(2) It is technologically and economically feasible to meet the applicable standards set forth in this part.

(c) "Fixed capital cost" means the capital needed to provide all the depreciable components.

(d) If an owner or operator of an existing facility proposes to replace components, and the fixed capital cost of the new components exceeds 50 percent of the fixed capital cost that would be required to construct a comparable entirely new facility, he shall notify the Administrator of the proposed replacements. The notice must be postmarked 60 days (or as soon as practicable) before construction of the replacements is commenced and must include the following information:

(1) Name and address of the owner or operator.

(2) The location of the existing facility.

(3) A brief description of the existing facility and the components which are to be replaced.

(4) A description of the existing air pollution control equipment and the proposed air pollution control equipment.

(5) An estimate of the fixed capital cost of the replacements and of constructing a comparable entirely new facility.

(6) The estimated life of the existing facility after the replacements.

(7) A discussion of any economic or technical limitations the facility may have in complying with the applicable

standards of performance after the proposed replacements.

(e) The Administrator will determine, within 30 days of the receipt of the notice required by paragraph (d) of this section and any additional information he may reasonably require, whether the proposed replacement constitutes reconstruction.

(f) The Administrator's determination under paragraph (e) shall be based on:

(1) The fixed capital cost of the replacements in comparison to the fixed capital cost that would be required to construct a comparable entirely new facility;

(2) The estimated life of the facility after the replacements compared to the life of a comparable entirely new facility;

(3) The extent to which the components being replaced cause or contribute to the emissions from the facility; and

(4) Any economic or technical limitations on compliance with applicable standards of performance which are inherent in the proposed replacements.

(g) Individual subparts of this part may include specific provisions which refine and delimit the concept of reconstruction set forth in this section.

§ 60.16 Priority list.

Prioritized Major Source Categories

Priority Number [1]	*Source Category*
4.	Industrial Surface Coating: Paper
10.	Industrial Surface Coating: Fabric

Low numbers have highest priority, e.g., No. 1 is high priority, No. 59 is low priority.

§ 60.17 Incorporations by reference.

(19) ASTM D1137–53, 75, Standard Method for Analysis of Natural Gases and Related Types of Gaseous Mixtures by the Mass Spectrometer, IBR approved for §60.45(f)(5)(i).

(20) ASTM D1193–77, 91, Standard Specification for Reagent Water, IBR approved for appendix A: Method 5, Section 7.1.3; Method 5E, Section 7.2.1; Method 5F, Section 7.2.1; Method 6, Section 7.1.1; Method 7, Section 7.1.1; Method 7C, Section 7.1.1; Method 7D, Section 7.1.1; Method 10A, Section 7.1.1; Method 11, Section 7.1.3; Method 12, Section 7.1.3; Method 13A, Section 7.1.2; Method 26, Section 7.1.2; Method 26A, Section 7.1.2; and Method 29, Section 7.2.2.

(23) ASTM D1475–60 (Reapproved 1980), 90, Standard Test Method for Density of Paint, Varnish Lacquer, and Related Products, IBR approved for §60.435(d)(1), appendix A: Method 24, Section 6.1; and Method 24A, Sections 6.5 and 7.1.

(24) ASTM D1552–83, 95, 01, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products (High-Temperature Method),

IBR approved for §§60.106(j)(2), 60.335(b)(10)(i), and appendix A: Method 19, Section 12.5.2.2.3.

(26) ASTM D1826–77, 94, Standard Test Method for Calorific Value of Gases in Natural Gas Range by Continuous Recording Calorimeter, IBR approved for §§60.45(f)(5)(ii), 60.46(c)(2), 60.296(b)(3), and appendix A: Method 19, Section 12.3.2.4.

(28) ASTM D1945–64, 76, 91, 96, Standard Method for Analysis of Natural Gas by Gas Chromatography, IBR approved for §60.45(f)(5)(i).

(34) ASTM D2369–81, 87, 90, 92, 93, 95, Standard Test Method for Volatile Content of Coatings, IBR approved for appendix A: Method 24, Section 6.2.

(35) ASTM D2382–76, 88, Heat of Combustion of Hydrocarbon Fuels by Bomb Calorimeter (High-Precision Method), IBR approved for §§60.18(f)(3), 60.485(g)(6), 60.485a(g)(6), 60.564(f)(3), 60.614(e)(4), 60.664(e)(4), and 60.704(d)(4).

(36) ASTM D2504–67, 77, 88 (Reapproved 1993), Noncondensable Gases in C3 and Lighter Hydrocarbon Products by Gas Chromatography, IBR approved for §§60.485(g)(5) and 60.485a(g)(5).

(37) ASTM D2584–68 (Reapproved 1985), 94, Standard Test Method for Ignition Loss of Cured Reinforced Resins, IBR approved for §60.685(c)(3)(i).

(38) ASTM D2597–94 (Reapproved 1999), Standard Test Method for Analysis of Demethanized Hydrocarbon Liquid Mixtures Containing Nitrogen and Carbon Dioxide by Gas Chromatography, IBR approved for §60.335(b)(9)(i).

(39) ASTM D2622–87, 94, 98, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products by Wavelength Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry, IBR approved for §§60.106(j)(2) and 60.335(b)(10)(i).

(40) ASTM D2622–05, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products by Wavelength Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry, IBR approved for §60.4415(a)(1)(i).

(41) ASTM D2879–83, 96, 97, Test Method for Vapor Pressure-Temperature Relationship and Initial Decomposition Temperature of Liquids by Isoteniscope, IBR approved for §§60.111b(f)(3), 60.116b(e)(3)(ii), 60.116b(f)(2)(i), 60.485(e)(1), and 60.485a(e)(1).

(43) ASTM D2908–74, 91, Standard Practice for Measuring Volatile Organic Matter in Water by Aqueous-Injection Gas Chromatography, IBR approved for §60.564(j).

(47) ASTM D3177–75, 89, Standard Test Method for Total Sulfur in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke, IBR approved for appendix A: Method 19, Section 12.5.2.1.3.

(48) ASTM D3178–73 (Reapproved 1979), 89, Standard Test Methods for Carbon and Hydrogen in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke, IBR approved for §60.45(f)(5)(i).

(49) ASTM D3246–81, 92, 96, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Gas by Oxidative Microcoulometry, IBR approved for §60.335(b)(10)(ii).

(50) ASTM D3246–05, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Gas by Oxidative Microcoulometry, IBR approved for §60.4415(a)(1)(ii).

(53) ASTM D3370–76, 95a, Standard Practices for Sampling Water, IBR approved for §60.564(j).

(54) ASTM D3792–79, 91, Standard Test Method for Water Content of Water-Reducible Paints by Direct Injection into a Gas Chromatograph, IBR approved for appendix A: Method 24, Section 6.3.

(55) ASTM D4017–81, 90, 96a, Standard Test Method for Water in Paints and Paint Materials by the Karl Fischer Titration Method, IBR approved for appendix A: Method 24, Section 6.4.

(56) ASTM D4057–81, 95, Standard Practice for Manual Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products, IBR approved for appendix A: Method 19, Section 12.5.2.2.3.

(57) ASTM D4057–95 (Reapproved 2000), Standard Practice for Manual Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products, IBR approved for §60.4415(a)(1).

(58) ASTM D4084–82, 94, Standard Test Method for Analysis of Hydrogen Sulfide in Gaseous Fuels (Lead Acetate Reaction Rate Method), IBR approved for §60.334(h)(1).

(59) ASTM D4084–05, Standard Test Method for Analysis of Hydrogen Sulfide in Gaseous Fuels (Lead Acetate Reaction Rate Method), IBR approved for §§60.4360 and 60.4415(a)(1)(ii).

(60) ASTM D4177–95, Standard Practice for Automatic Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products, IBR approved for appendix A: Method 19, Section 12.5.2.2.1.

(61) ASTM D4177–95 (Reapproved 2000), Standard Practice for Automatic Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products, IBR approved for §60.4415(a)(1).

(62) ASTM D4239–85, 94, 97, Standard Test Methods for Sulfur in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke Using High Temperature Tube Furnace Combustion Methods, IBR approved for appendix A: Method 19, Section 12.5.2.1.3.

(63) ASTM D4294–02, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum and Petroleum Products by Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry, IBR approved for §60.335(b)(10)(i).

(64) ASTM D4294–03, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum and Petroleum Products by Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry, IBR approved for §60.4415(a)(1)(i).

(66) ASTM D4444–92, Standard Test Methods for Use and Calibration of Hand-Held Moisture Meters, IBR approved for appendix A: Method 28, Section 16.1.1.

(67) ASTM D4457–85 (Reapproved 1991), Test Method for Determination of Dichloromethane and 1, 1, 1-Trichloroethane in Paints and Coatings by Direct Injection into a Gas Chromatograph, IBR approved for appendix A: Method 24, Section 6.5.

(69) ASTM D4629–02, Standard Test Method for Trace Nitrogen in Liquid Petroleum Hydrocarbons by Syringe/Inlet Oxidative Combustion and Chemiluminescence Detection, IBR approved for §§60.49b(e) and 60.335(b)(9)(i).

(70) ASTM D4809–95, Standard Test Method for Heat of Combustion of Liquid Hydrocarbon Fuels by Bomb Calorimeter (Precision Method), IBR approved for §§60.18(f)(3), 60.485(g)(6), 60.485a(g)(6), 60.564(f)(3), 60.614(d)(4), 60.664(e)(4), and 60.704(d)(4).

(71) ASTM D4810–88 (Reapproved 1999), Standard Test Method for Hydrogen Sulfide in Natural Gas Using Length of Stain Detector Tubes, IBR approved for §§60.4360 and 60.4415(a)(1)(ii).

(72) ASTM D5287–97 (Reapproved 2002), Standard Practice for Automatic Sampling of Gaseous Fuels, IBR approved for §60.4415(a)(1).

(73) ASTM D5403–93, Standard Test Methods for Volatile Content of Radiation Curable Materials, IBR approved for appendix A: Method 24, Section 6.6.

(74) ASTM D5453–00, Standard Test Method for Determination of Total Sulfur in Light Hydrocarbons, Motor Fuels and Oils by Ultraviolet Fluorescence, IBR approved for §60.335(b)(10)(i).

(75) ASTM D5453–05, Standard Test Method for Determination of Total Sulfur in Light Hydrocarbons, Motor Fuels and Oils by Ultraviolet Fluorescence, IBR approved for §60.4415(a)(1)(i).

(76) ASTM D5504–01, Standard Test Method for Determination of Sulfur Compounds in Natural Gas and Gaseous Fuels by Gas Chromatography and Chemiluminescence, IBR approved for §§60.334(h)(1) and 60.4360.

(77) ASTM D5762–02, Standard Test Method for Nitrogen in Petroleum and Petroleum Products by Boat-Inlet Chemiluminescence, IBR approved for §60.335(b)(9)(i).

(78) ASTM D5865–98, Standard Test Method for Gross Calorific Value of Coal and Coke, IBR approved for §60.45(f)(5)(ii), 60.46(c)(2), and appendix A: Method 19, Section 12.5.2.1.3.

(79) ASTM D6216–98, Standard Practice for Opacity Monitor Manufacturers to Certify Conformance with Design and Performance Specifications, IBR approved for appendix B, Performance Specification 1.

(80) ASTM D6228–98, Standard Test Method for Determination of Sulfur Compounds in Natural Gas and Gaseous Fuels by Gas Chromatography and Flame Photometric Detection, IBR approved for §60.334(h)(1).

(81) ASTM D6228–98 (Reapproved 2003), Standard Test Method for Determination of Sulfur Compounds in Natural Gas and Gaseous Fuels by Gas Chromatography and Flame Photometric Detection, IBR approved for §§60.4360 and 60.4415.

(82) ASTM D6348–03, Standard Test Method for Determination of Gaseous Compounds by Extractive Direct Interface Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy, IBR approved for table 7 of subpart IIII of this part and table 2 of subpart JJJJ of this part.

(83) ASTM D6366–99, Standard Test Method for Total Trace Nitrogen and Its Derivatives in Liquid Aromatic Hydrocarbons by Oxidative Combustion and Electrochemical Detection, IBR approved for §60.335(b)(9)(i).

(84) ASTM D6420–99 (Reapproved 2004) Standard Test Method for Determination of Gaseous Organic Compounds by Direct Interface Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry, IBR approved for table 2 of subpart JJJJ of this part.

(85) ASTM D6522–00, Standard Test Method for Determination of Nitrogen Oxides, Carbon Monoxide, and Oxygen Concentrations in Emissions from Natural Gas-Fired Reciprocating Engines, Combustion Turbines, Boilers, and Process Heaters Using Portable Analyzers, IBR approved for §60.335(a).

(86) ASTM D6522–00 (Reapproved 2005), Standard Test Method for Determination of Nitrogen Oxides, Carbon

Monoxide, and Oxygen Concentrations in Emissions from Natural Gas-Fired Reciprocating Engines, Combustion Turbines, Boilers, and Process Heaters Using Portable Analyzers, IBR approved for table 2 of subpart JJJJ of this part.

(87) ASTM D6667–01, Standard Test Method for Determination of Total Volatile Sulfur in Gaseous Hydrocarbons and Liquefied Petroleum Gases by Ultraviolet Fluorescence, IBR approved for §60.335(b)(10)(ii).

(88) ASTM D6667–04, Standard Test Method for Determination of Total Volatile Sulfur in Gaseous Hydrocarbons and Liquefied Petroleum Gases by Ultraviolet Fluorescence, IBR approved for §60.4415(a)(1)(ii).

(89) ASTM D6784–02, Standard Test Method for Elemental, Oxidized, Particle-Bound and Total Mercury in Flue Gas Generated from Coal-Fired Stationary Sources (Ontario Hydro Method), IBR approved for appendix B to part 60, Performance Specification 12A, Section 8.6.2.

(90) ASTM D6784–02, Standard Test Method for Elemental, Oxidized, Particle-Bound and Total Mercury in Flue Gas Generated from Coal-Fired Stationary Sources (Ontario Hydro Method), IBR approved for Appendix B to part 60, Performance Specification 12A, Section 8.6.2 and §60.56c(b)(13) of subpart Ec of this part.

(91) ASTM E169–63, 77, 93, General Techniques of Ultraviolet Quantitative Analysis, IBR approved for §§60.485a(d)(1), 60.593(b)(2), 60.593a(b)(2), and 60.632(f).

(92) ASTM E260–73, 91, 96, General Gas Chromatography Procedures, IBR approved for §§60.485a(d)(1), 60.593(b)(2), 60.593a(b)(2), and 60.632(f).

(3) ASME Interim Supplement 19.5 on Instruments and Apparatus: Application, Part II of Fluid Meters, 6th Edition (1971), IBR approved for §§60.58a(h)(6)(ii), 60.58b(i)(6)(ii), 60.1320(a)4), and 60.1810(a)(4).

(4) ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10–1981, Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses [Part 10, Instruments and Apparatus], IBR approved for §60.56c(b)(4), §60.63(f)(2) and (f)(4), §60.106(e)(2), §§60.104a(d)(3), (d)(5), (d)(6), (h)(3), (h)(4), (h)(5), (i)(3), (i)(4), (i)(5), (j)(3), and (j)(4), §60.105a(d)(4), (f)(2), (f)(4), (g)(2), and (g)(4), §60.106a(a)(1)(iii), (a)(2)(iii), (a)(2)(v), (a)(2)(viii), (a)(3)(ii), and (a)(3)(v), and §60.107a(a)(1)(ii), (a)(1)(iv), (a)(2)(ii), (c)(2), (c)(4), and (d)(2), tables 1 and 3 of subpart EEEE, tables 2 and 4 of subpart FFFF, table 2 of subpart JJJJ, §§60.4415(a)(2) and (a)(3), 60.2145(s)(1)(i) and (ii), 60.2145(t)(1)(ii), 60.2145(t)(5)(i), 60.2710(s)(1)(i) and (ii), 60.2710(t)(1)(ii), 60.2710(t)(5)(i), 60.2710(w)(3), 60.2730(q)(3), 60.4900(b)(4)(vii) and (viii), 60.4900(b)(5)(i), 60.5220(b)(4)(vii) and (viii), 60.5220(b)(5)(i), tables 1 and 2 to subpart LLLL, and tables 2 and 3 to subpart MMMM.

(1) Gas Processors Association Standard 2377–86, Test for Hydrogen Sulfide and Carbon Dioxide in Natural Gas Using Length of Stain Tubes, 1986 Revision, IBR approved for §§60.105(b)(1)(iv), 60.107a(b)(1)(iv), 60.334(h)(1), 60.4360, and 60.4415(a)(1)(ii).

§ 60.18 General control device and work practice requirements.

(a) *Introduction.* (1) This section contains requirements for control devices used to comply with applicable subparts of 40 CFR parts 60 and 61. The requirements are placed here for administrative convenience and apply only to facilities covered by subparts referring to this section.

(2) This section also contains requirements for an alternative work practice used to identify leaking equipment. This alternative work practice is placed here for administrative convenience and is available to all subparts in 40 CFR

parts 60, 61, 63, and 65 that require monitoring of equipment with a 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A–7, Method 21 monitor.

(b) *Flares.* Paragraphs (c) through (f) apply to flares.

(c)(1) Flares shall be designed for and operated with no visible emissions as determined by the methods specified in paragraph (f), except for periods not to exceed a total of 5 minutes during any 2 consecutive hours.

(2) Flares shall be operated with a flame present at all times, as determined by the methods specified in paragraph (f).

(3) An owner/operator has the choice of adhering to either the heat content specifications in paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section and the maximum tip velocity specifications in paragraph (c)(4) of this section, or adhering to the requirements in paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section.

(i)(A) Flares shall be used that have a diameter of 3 inches or greater, are nonassisted, have a hydrogen content of 8.0 percent (by volume), or greater, and are designed for and operated with an exit velocity less than 37.2 m/sec (122 ft/sec) and less than the velocity, V_{max}, as determined by the following equation:

$V_{max}=(X_{H2}-K_1)^* K_2$

Where:

V_{max}=Maximum permitted velocity, m/sec.

K_1=Constant, 6.0 volume-percent hydrogen.

K_2=Constant, 3.9(m/sec)/volume-percent hydrogen.

X_{H2}=The volume-percent of hydrogen, on a wet basis, as calculated by using the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Method D1946–77. (Incorporated by reference as specified in §60.17).

(B) The actual exit velocity of a flare shall be determined by the method specified in paragraph (f)(4) of this section.

(ii) Flares shall be used only with the net heating value of the gas being combusted being 11.2 MJ/scm (300 Btu/scf) or greater if the flare is steam-assisted or air-assisted; or with the net heating value of the gas being combusted being 7.45 MJ/scm (200 Btu/scf) or greater if the flare is nonassisted. The net heating value of the gas being combusted shall be determined by the methods specified in paragraph (f)(3) of this section.

(4)(i) Steam-assisted and nonassisted flares shall be designed for and operated with an exit velocity, as determined by the methods specified in paragraph (f)(4) of this section, less than 18.3 m/sec (60 ft/sec), except as provided in paragraphs (c)(4) (ii) and (iii) of this section.

(ii) Steam-assisted and nonassisted flares designed for and operated with an exit velocity, as determined by the methods specified in paragraph (f)(4), equal to or greater than 18.3 m/sec (60 ft/sec) but less than 122 m/sec (400 ft/sec) are allowed if the net heating value of the gas being combusted is greater than 37.3 MJ/scm (1,000 Btu/scf).

(iii) Steam-assisted and nonassisted flares designed for and operated with an exit velocity, as determined by the methods specified in paragraph (f)(4), less than the velocity, V_{max}, as determined by the method specified in

paragraph (f)(5), and less than 122 m/sec (400 ft/sec) are allowed.

(5) Air-assisted flares shall be designed and operated with an exit velocity less than the velocity, V_{max}, as determined by the method specified in paragraph (f)(6).

(6) Flares used to comply with this section shall be steam-assisted, air-assisted, or nonassisted.

(d) Owners or operators of flares used to comply with the provisions of this subpart shall monitor these control devices to ensure that they are operated and maintained in conformance with their designs. Applicable subparts will provide provisions stating how owners or operators of flares shall monitor these control devices.

(e) Flares used to comply with provisions of this subpart shall be operated at all times when emissions may be vented to them.

(f)(1) Method 22 of appendix A to this part shall be used to determine the compliance of flares with the visible emission provisions of this subpart. The observation period is 2 hours and shall be used according to Method 22.

(2) The presence of a flare pilot flame shall be monitored using a thermocouple or any other equivalent device to detect the presence of a flame.

(3) The net heating value of the gas being combusted in a flare shall be calculated using the following equation:

$$H_T = K \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i H_i$$

View or download PDF

where:

H_T=Net heating value of the sample, MJ/scm; where the net enthalpy per mole of offgas is based on combustion at 25 °C and 760 mm Hg, but the standard temperature for determining the volume corresponding to one mole is 20 °C;

$$K = \text{Constant, } 1.740 \times 10^{-7} \left(\frac{1}{ppm}\right) \left(\frac{g\ mole}{scm}\right) \left(\frac{MJ}{kcal}\right)$$

where the standard temperature for $\left(\frac{g\ mole}{scm}\right)$ is 20°C;

View or download PDF

C_i=Concentration of sample component i in ppm on a wet basis, as measured for organics by Reference Method 18 and measured for hydrogen and carbon monoxide by ASTM D1946–77 or 90 (Reapproved 1994) (Incorporated by reference as specified in §60.17); and

H_i=Net heat of combustion of sample component i, kcal/g mole at 25 °C and 760 mm Hg. The heats of combustion may be determined using ASTM D2382–76 or 88 or D4809–95 (incorporated by reference as specified in §60.17) if published values are not available or cannot be calculated.

(4) The actual exit velocity of a flare shall be determined by dividing the volumetric flowrate (in units of standard temperature and pressure), as determined by Reference Methods 2, 2A, 2C, or 2D as appropriate; by the

unobstructed (free) cross sectional area of the flare tip.

(5) The maximum permitted velocity, V_{max}, for flares complying with paragraph (c)(4)(iii) shall be determined by the following equation.

$Log_{10}(V_{max})=(H_T+28.8)/31.7$

V_{max}=Maximum permitted velocity, M/sec

28.8=Constant

31.7=Constant

H_T=The net heating value as determined in paragraph (f)(3).

(6) The maximum permitted velocity, V_{max}, for air-assisted flares shall be determined by the following equation.

$V_{max}=8.706+0.7084\ (H_T)$

V_{max}=Maximum permitted velocity, m/sec

8.706=Constant

0.7084=Constant

H_T=The net heating value as determined in paragraph (f)(3).

(g) *Alternative work practice for monitoring equipment for leaks.* Paragraphs (g), (h), and (i) of this section apply to all equipment for which the applicable subpart requires monitoring with a 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A–7, Method 21 monitor, except for closed vent systems, equipment designated as leakless, and equipment identified in the applicable subpart as having no detectable emissions, as indicated by an instrument reading of less than 500 ppm above background. An owner or operator may use an optical gas imaging instrument instead of a 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A–7, Method 21 monitor. Requirements in the existing subparts that are specific to the Method 21 instrument do not apply under this section. All other requirements in the applicable subpart that are not addressed in paragraphs (g), (h), and (i) of this section apply to this standard. For example, equipment specification requirements, and non-Method 21 instrument recordkeeping and reporting requirements in the applicable subpart continue to apply. The terms defined in paragraphs (g)(1) through (5) of this section have meanings that are specific to the alternative work practice standard in paragraphs (g), (h), and (i) of this section.

(1) *Applicable subpart* means the subpart in 40 CFR parts 60, 61, 63, or 65 that requires monitoring of equipment with a 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A–7, Method 21 monitor.

(2) *Equipment* means pumps, valves, pressure relief valves, compressors, open-ended lines, flanges, connectors, and other equipment covered by the applicable subpart that require monitoring with a 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A–7, Method 21 monitor.

(3) *Imaging* means making visible emissions that may otherwise be invisible to the naked eye.

(4) *Optical gas imaging instrument* means an instrument that makes visible emissions that may otherwise be invisible

to the naked eye.

(5) *Repair* means that equipment is adjusted, or otherwise altered, in order to eliminate a leak.

(6) *Leak* means:

(i) Any emissions imaged by the optical gas instrument;

(ii) Indications of liquids dripping;

(iii) Indications by a sensor that a seal or barrier fluid system has failed; or

(iv) Screening results using a 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A–7, Method 21 monitor that exceed the leak definition in the applicable subpart to which the equipment is subject.

(h) The alternative work practice standard for monitoring equipment for leaks is available to all subparts in 40 CFR parts 60, 61, 63, and 65 that require monitoring of equipment with a 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A–7, Method 21 monitor.

(1) An owner or operator of an affected source subject to CFR parts 60, 61, 63, or 65 can choose to comply with the alternative work practice requirements in paragraph (i) of this section instead of using the 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A–7, Method 21 monitor to identify leaking equipment. The owner or operator must document the equipment, process units, and facilities for which the alternative work practice will be used to identify leaks.

(2) Any leak detected when following the leak survey procedure in paragraph (i)(3) of this section must be identified for repair as required in the applicable subpart.

(3) If the alternative work practice is used to identify leaks, re-screening after an attempted repair of leaking equipment must be conducted using either the alternative work practice or the 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A–7, Method 21 monitor at the leak definition required in the applicable subpart to which the equipment is subject.

(4) The schedule for repair is as required in the applicable subpart.

(5) When this alternative work practice is used for detecting leaking equipment, choose one of the monitoring frequencies listed in Table 1 to subpart A of this part in lieu of the monitoring frequency specified for regulated equipment in the applicable subpart. Reduced monitoring frequencies for good performance are not applicable when using the alternative work practice.

(6) When this alternative work practice is used for detecting leaking equipment the following are not applicable for the equipment being monitored:

(i) Skip period leak detection and repair;

(ii) Quality improvement plans; or

(iii) Complying with standards for allowable percentage of valves and pumps to leak.

(7) When the alternative work practice is used to detect leaking equipment, the regulated equipment in paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section must also be monitored annually using a 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A–7, Method 21 monitor

at the leak definition required in the applicable subpart. The owner or operator may choose the specific monitoring period (for example, first quarter) to conduct the annual monitoring. Subsequent monitoring must be conducted every 12 months from the initial period. Owners or operators must keep records of the annual Method 21 screening results, as specified in paragraph (i)(4)(vii) of this section.

(i) An owner or operator of an affected source who chooses to use the alternative work practice must comply with the requirements of paragraphs (i)(1) through (i)(5) of this section.

(1) Instrument Specifications. The optical gas imaging instrument must comply with the requirements in (i)(1)(i) and (i)(1)(ii) of this section.

(i) Provide the operator with an image of the potential leak points for each piece of equipment at both the detection sensitivity level and within the distance used in the daily instrument check described in paragraph (i)(2) of this section. The detection sensitivity level depends upon the frequency at which leak monitoring is to be performed.

(ii) Provide a date and time stamp for video records of every monitoring event.

(2) Daily Instrument Check. On a daily basis, and prior to beginning any leak monitoring work, test the optical gas imaging instrument at the mass flow rate determined in paragraph (i)(2)(i) of this section in accordance with the procedure specified in paragraphs (i)(2)(ii) through (i)(2)(iv) of this section for each camera configuration used during monitoring (for example, different lenses used), unless an alternative method to demonstrate daily instrument checks has been approved in accordance with paragraph (i)(2)(v) of this section.

(i) Calculate the mass flow rate to be used in the daily instrument check by following the procedures in paragraphs (i)(2)(i)(A) and (i)(2)(i)(B) of this section.

(A) For a specified population of equipment to be imaged by the instrument, determine the piece of equipment in contact with the lowest mass fraction of chemicals that are detectable, within the distance to be used in paragraph (i)(2)(iv)(B) of this section, at or below the standard detection sensitivity level.

(B) Multiply the standard detection sensitivity level, corresponding to the selected monitoring frequency in Table 1 of subpart A of this part, by the mass fraction of detectable chemicals from the stream identified in paragraph (i)(2)(i)(A) of this section to determine the mass flow rate to be used in the daily instrument check, using the following equation.

$$E_{dic} = (E_{sds}) \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i$$

Where:

E_{dic}= Mass flow rate for the daily instrument check, grams per hour

x_i= Mass fraction of detectable chemical(s) i seen by the optical gas imaging instrument, within the distance to be used in paragraph (i)(2)(iv)(B) of this section, at or below the standard detection sensitivity level, E_{sds}.

E_{sds}= Standard detection sensitivity level from Table 1 to subpart A, grams per hour

k = Total number of detectable chemicals emitted from the leaking equipment and seen by the optical gas imaging instrument.

(ii) Start the optical gas imaging instrument according to the manufacturer's instructions, ensuring that all appropriate settings conform to the manufacturer's instructions.

(iii) Use any gas chosen by the user that can be viewed by the optical gas imaging instrument and that has a purity of no less than 98 percent.

(iv) Establish a mass flow rate by using the following procedures:

(A) Provide a source of gas where it will be in the field of view of the optical gas imaging instrument.

(B) Set up the optical gas imaging instrument at a recorded distance from the outlet or leak orifice of the flow meter that will not be exceeded in the actual performance of the leak survey. Do not exceed the operating parameters of the flow meter.

(C) Open the valve on the flow meter to set a flow rate that will create a mass emission rate equal to the mass rate specified in paragraph (i)(2)(i) of this section while observing the gas flow through the optical gas imaging instrument viewfinder. When an image of the gas emission is seen through the viewfinder at the required emission rate, make a record of the reading on the flow meter.

(v) Repeat the procedures specified in paragraphs (i)(2)(ii) through (i)(2)(iv) of this section for each configuration of the optical gas imaging instrument used during the leak survey.

(vi) To use an alternative method to demonstrate daily instrument checks, apply to the Administrator for approval of the alternative under §60.13(i).

(3) Leak Survey Procedure. Operate the optical gas imaging instrument to image every regulated piece of equipment selected for this work practice in accordance with the instrument manufacturer's operating parameters. All emissions imaged by the optical gas imaging instrument are considered to be leaks and are subject to repair. All emissions visible to the naked eye are also considered to be leaks and are subject to repair.

(4) Recordkeeping. You must keep the records described in paragraphs (i)(4)(i) through (i)(4)(vii) of this section:

(i) The equipment, processes, and facilities for which the owner or operator chooses to use the alternative work practice.

(ii) The detection sensitivity level selected from Table 1 to subpart A of this part for the optical gas imaging instrument.

(iii) The analysis to determine the piece of equipment in contact with the lowest mass fraction of chemicals that are detectable, as specified in paragraph (i)(2)(i)(A) of this section.

(iv) The technical basis for the mass fraction of detectable chemicals used in the equation in paragraph (i)(2)(i)(B) of this section.

(v) The daily instrument check. Record the distance, per paragraph (i)(2)(iv)(B) of this section, and the flow meter reading, per paragraph (i)(2)(iv)(C) of this section, at which the leak was imaged. Keep a video record of the daily instrument check for each configuration of the optical gas imaging instrument used during the leak survey (for example, the daily instrument check must be conducted for each lens used). The video record must include a time and date stamp for each daily instrument check. The video record must be kept for 5 years.

(vi) Recordkeeping requirements in the applicable subpart. A video record must be used to document the leak survey results. The video record must include a time and date stamp for each monitoring event. A video record can be used to meet the recordkeeping requirements of the applicable subparts if each piece of regulated equipment selected for this work practice can be identified in the video record. The video record must be kept for 5 years.

(vii) The results of the annual Method 21 screening required in paragraph (h)(7) of this section. Records must be kept for all regulated equipment specified in paragraph (h)(1) of this section. Records must identify the equipment screened, the screening value measured by Method 21, the time and date of the screening, and calibration information required in the existing applicable subpart.

(5) Reporting. Submit the reports required in the applicable subpart. Submit the records of the annual Method 21 screening required in paragraph (h)(7) of this section to the Administrator via e-mail to *CCG-AWP@EPA.GOV.*

§ 60.19 General notification and reporting requirements.

(a) For the purposes of this part, time periods specified in days shall be measured in calendar days, even if the word "calendar" is absent, unless otherwise specified in an applicable requirement.

(b) For the purposes of this part, if an explicit postmark deadline is not specified in an applicable requirement for the submittal of a notification, application, report, or other written communication to the Administrator, the owner or operator shall postmark the submittal on or before the number of days specified in the applicable requirement. For example, if a notification must be submitted 15 days before a particular event is scheduled to take place, the notification shall be postmarked on or before 15 days preceding the event; likewise, if a notification must be submitted 15 days after a particular event takes place, the notification shall be delivered or postmarked on or before 15 days following the end of the event. The use of reliable non-Government mail carriers that provide indications of verifiable delivery of information required to be submitted to the Administrator, similar to the postmark provided by the U.S. Postal Service, or alternative means of delivery, including the use of electronic media, agreed to by the permitting authority, is acceptable.

(c) Notwithstanding time periods or postmark deadlines specified in this part for the submittal of information to the Administrator by an owner or operator, or the review of such information by the Administrator, such time periods or deadlines may be changed by mutual agreement between the owner or operator and the Administrator. Procedures governing the implementation of this provision are specified in paragraph (f) of this section.

(d) If an owner or operator of an affected facility in a State with delegated authority is required to submit periodic reports under this part to the State, and if the State has an established timeline for the submission of periodic reports that is consistent with the reporting frequency(ies) specified for such facility under this part, the owner or operator may change the dates by which periodic reports under this part shall be submitted (without changing the frequency of reporting) to be consistent with the State's schedule by mutual agreement between the owner or operator and the State. The allowance in the previous sentence applies in each State beginning 1 year after the affected facility is required to be in compliance with the applicable subpart in this part. Procedures governing the implementation of this provision are specified in paragraph (f) of this section.

(e) If an owner or operator supervises one or more stationary sources affected by standards set under this part and standards set under part 61, part 63, or both such parts of this chapter, he/she may arrange by mutual agreement between the owner or operator and the Administrator (or the State with an approved permit program) a common schedule on which periodic reports required by each applicable standard shall be submitted throughout the year. The allowance in the previous sentence applies in each State beginning 1 year after the stationary source is required to be in compliance with the applicable subpart in this part, or 1 year after the stationary source is required to be in compliance with the applicable 40 CFR part 61 or part 63 of this chapter standard, whichever is latest. Procedures governing the implementation of this provision are specified in paragraph (f) of this section.

(f)(1)(i) Until an adjustment of a time period or postmark deadline has been approved by the Administrator under paragraphs (f)(2) and (f)(3) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility remains strictly subject to the requirements of this part.

(ii) An owner or operator shall request the adjustment provided for in paragraphs (f)(2) and (f)(3) of this section each time he or she wishes to change an applicable time period or postmark deadline specified in this part.

(2) Notwithstanding time periods or postmark deadlines specified in this part for the submittal of information to the Administrator by an owner or operator, or the review of such information by the Administrator, such time periods or deadlines may be changed by mutual agreement between the owner or operator and the Administrator. An owner or operator who wishes to request a change in a time period or postmark deadline for a particular requirement shall request the adjustment in writing as soon as practicable before the subject activity is required to take place. The owner or operator shall include in the request whatever information he or she considers useful to convince the Administrator that an adjustment is warranted.

(3) If, in the Administrator's judgment, an owner or operator's request for an adjustment to a particular time period or postmark deadline is warranted, the Administrator will approve the adjustment. The Administrator will notify the owner or operator in writing of approval or disapproval of the request for an adjustment within 15 calendar days of receiving sufficient information to evaluate the request.

(4) If the Administrator is unable to meet a specified deadline, he or she will notify the owner or operator of any significant delay and inform the owner or operator of the amended schedule.

[59 FR 12428, Mar. 16, 1994, as amended at 64 FR 7463, Feb. 12, 1998]

Table 1 to Subpart A to Part 60–Detection Sensitivity Levels (grams per hour)

Monitoring frequency per subpart[a]	Detection sensitivity level
Bi-Monthly	60
Semi-Quarterly	85
Monthly	100

[a] When this alternative work practice is used to identify leaking equipment, the owner or operator must choose one of the monitoring frequencies listed in this table in lieu of the monitoring frequency specified in the applicable subpart. Bi-monthly means every other month. Semi-quarterly means twice per quarter. Monthly means once per month.

S11PC.027