PROPOSED Determination

I. Public Notice.

An "INTENT TO ISSUE TITLE V AIR OPERATION PERMIT REVISION" to United States Air Force for the Eglin Air Force Base located at 592 Range Road, Eglin AFB, Okaloosa County was clerked on April 5, 2013. The "PUBLIC NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE TITLE V AIR OPERATION PERMIT REVISION" was published in the Northwest Florida Daily News on April 17, 2013. The DRAFT Permit was available for public inspection at the permitting authority's office in Pensacola. Proof of publication of the "PUBLIC NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE TITLE V AIR OPERATION PERMIT REVISION" was received on April 25, 2013.

II. Public Comment(s).

Comments were received and the DRAFT Permit was changed. The comments were not considered significant enough to reissue the DRAFT Permit and require another Public Notice. Comments were received from one respondent during the 30-day public comment period. Listed below is each comment in order of receipt and a response to each comment in the order that the comment was received. The comments are restated. Where duplicative comments exist, the original response is referenced.

A. Email from Mr. Eric Sculthorpe dated and received on April 8, 2013.

The following are the Department responses to an email from Eric Sculthorpe dated April 8, 2013, regarding Eglin AFB 0910031-016-AV Draft Permit comments and are included in the Proposed Permit.

Comment (ES1): With the detail provided in each section of the permit, is it necessary to include these in the Appendix? Particularly since the rules have multiple revisions by EPA and there is not a comprehensive single version of each.
DEP Response: 40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII, 40 CFR 60 Subpart JJJJ, and 40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ are included in the Appendix. The specific conditions in Section III, Subsections F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, and N of the permit contain the specific rule cites for these federal regulations. 40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII, 40 CFR 60 Subpart JJJJ, and 40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ will remain in the Appendix.

Comment (ES2): Rick, the previous permit allowed for the addition of new sources in this category. Why is this being changed?
DEP Response: This comment is regarding Section III, Subsection B, Specific Condition B.2. of the permit stating "New aerospace paint booths will require construction permits". A new aerospace paint booth is assigned a new emissions unit and increases emissions, requiring a construction permit. This requirement will remain in the permit.

Comment (ES3): Rick, Eglin AFB requests that the specific monitoring and reporting procedure currently in place not be included in the permit. Future changes in monitoring or reporting procedures as a result of advances in technology/techniques or operational changes would require a revision to the permit. Instead Eglin AFB requests that the permit require an approved monitoring and reporting procedure (see suggested language).
DEP Response: Section III, Subsection B, Specific Condition B.3. of the permit is removed and replaced with:

B.3. Hangar 72 Booth EU I.D. 011 Monitoring and Reporting Procedure
[Performance testing, design evaluation and continuous monitoring requirements under 40 CFR 63.751 are only applicable in the event that non-compliant coatings are used in this paint booth].
An approved monitoring and reporting procedure that meets the requirements under 40 CFR 63.751 shall be maintained and followed in the event that non-compliant coatings are used in this paint booth.

Comment (ES4): Please see previous comment on Aerospace paint booths.
DEP Response: This comment is regarding Section III, Subsection C, Specific Condition C.2. of the permit stating "New non-aerospace paint booths will require construction permits". A new non-aerospace paint booth is assigned a new emissions unit and increases emissions, requiring a construction permit. This requirement will remain in the permit.

Comment (ES5): Both should be 96
DEP Response: This is regarding Section III, Subsection E. of the permit in the emissions unit table referencing the organization associated with Boilers 1037 and 1038. The organization is updated to 96 TW/INDYNE for both boilers.

Comment (ES6): Is this table necessary since they are listed out in each section?
DEP Response: This table was in the pre-draft for reference purposes and was removed in the draft permit.

Comment (ES7): Should these all be 047 or have the column removed?
DEP Response: This is regarding the table of engines in Section III, Subsection G of the permit. The first column of the table is EU I.D., which are the previous emissions units for the engines. This was used as a reference and is no longer needed. This column is removed.

Comment (ES8): Should these all be 048 or remove column?
DEP Response: This is regarding the table of engines in Section III, Subsection H of the permit. The first column of the table is EU I.D., which are the previous emissions units for the engines. This was used as a reference and is no longer needed. This column is removed.

Comment (ES9): Should these be 049 or remove column?
DEP Response: This is regarding the table of engines in Section III, Subsection I of the permit. The first column of the table is EU I.D., which are the previous emissions units for the engines. This was used as a reference and is no longer needed. This column is removed.

Comment (ES10): Should this be 050 or remove column?
DEP Response: This is regarding the table of engines in Section III, Subsection J of the permit. The first column of the table is EU I.D., which are the previous emissions units for the engines. This was used as a reference and is no longer needed. This column is removed.

Comment (ES11): Is this table necessary since the units are listed out in the subsections?
Comment (ES12): No 051 or 052 units are listed.
Comment (ES13): Should this be 039?
Comment (ES14): Should this be 039
DEP Response: This table was in the pre-draft for reference purposes and was removed in the draft permit.

Comment (ES15): Should these be 051 or the column removed?
DEP Response: This is regarding the table of engines in Section III, Subsection L of the permit. The first column of the table is EU I.D., which are the previous emissions units for the engines. This was used as a reference and is no longer needed. This column is removed.

Comment (ES16): Should these be 052 or the column removed?
DEP Response: This is regarding the table of engines in Section III, Subsection M of the permit. The first column of the table is EU I.D., which are the previous emissions units for the engines. This was used as a reference and is no longer needed. This column is removed.

The first column of the engines table, EU I.D., for Section III, Subsections F, K, and N of the permit were also removed for permit consistency.

In Section III, Subsections K, L, and M Specific Conditions for 40 CFR 60.4206 and 60.4212 were added to clarify why 60.4205 (emissions standards for CI internal combustion engine manufacturer) is in the permit, and to include the test method and procedure if any engines are tested, respectively.

In Section III, Subsection B, for Aerospace Paint Booth, Emissions Unit 045 in the Table was expanded to include 5 AEI No.'s and 5 Organizations to conduct outside areas/hangers painting.

[B.] Document on file with the permitting authority:

Email received April 8, 2013 from Mr. Eric Sculthorpe, Eglin AFB.

III. Conclusion.

The permitting authority hereby issues the PROPOSED Permit, with any changes noted above.